

## Understanding Colonial Legacies in Postcolonial Literature

Dr. Gajanan N. Khamankar

Submitted: 06-May-2025 Revised: 21-May-2025 Accepted: 12-June-2025 Published: 30-June-2025

Manuscript ID:  
IJEWLPSIR-2025-020202



Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0

International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work no commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.16918717](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16918717)

DOI Link:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16918717>

Volume: 2

Issue: 3

Month: June 2025

E-ISSN: 3065-7873

Dr. Gajanan N. Khamankar  
Associate Professor and Head, Dept. of English,  
Vivekanand Mahavidyalaya,  
Bhadrawati.

[Email:j.khamankar@gmail.com](mailto:j.khamankar@gmail.com)

How to cite this article:  
Khamankar, D. G. N. (2025).  
Understanding Colonial Legacies in Postcolonial Literature. *International Journal of English and World Languages & Literature Paradigm Shift in International Research*, 2(3), 6-14.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16918717>

Address for correspondence:  
Dr. Gajanan N. Khamankar  
Associate Professor and Head, Dept. of English,  
Vivekanand Mahavidyalaya,  
Bhadrawati.  
Email: [j.khamankar@gmail.com](mailto:j.khamankar@gmail.com)

### Abstract

Postcolonial studies probe the legacies of colonialism that remain active in the world. Postcolonialism seeks to map the current periodisation of global history, re-examining the conceptual decolonisation corresponding to a decolonisation of the planet. It may be thought of as a historical moment, a discourse, an ideology, or all three; as such it has distinct historical limits, but remains internally uneven and is still a work in progress. Several key themes resonate throughout postcolonial literature, with identity and hybridity, memory and trauma, and resistance and empowerment standing out as major motifs. These themes reflect the persistent influence of colonial experience on former colonies and can be fruitfully explored through Postcolonial Theory and Colonial Discourse Analysis. A close examination reveals how these thematic concerns interact with strategies such as depiction, narration, symbolism, and metaphor. Notable works by Chinua Achebe, Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, Salman Rushdie, and Toni Morrison illustrate the expression of these themes in diverse contexts and stylistic registers.

**Keywords:** Postcolonialism, colonialism, legacies, identities, cultures, race, resistance, hybridity, ethnicity, imperialism, memory, trauma language, diaspora etc

### Introduction

With the end of the Second World War and the onset of the Cold War, numerous nations gained their independence and initiated cultural and political mobilisation. Their responses culminated in a series of debates whose figures included Frantz Fanon, Albert Memmi, and Jean-Paul Sartre. Subsequent disputes over cultural difference took place between 1968 and 1982 within the frameworks of negritude and the Harlem Renaissance. An effort to theorise a post-colonial Shakespeare quickly led to an understanding of alternative modernities, a concept originally articulated by Homi K. Bhabha in his influential 1990 book *The Location of Culture*, all challenging the legacy of colonial modernity. Developments in literary criticism motivated by Edward Saïd's 1978 polemic, *Orientalism*, opened the way to postcolonial theory and the introduction of the term postcolonialism into the academic and intellectual vocabulary. (Borman, 2012) Postcolonial literature engages with major theoretical frameworks to analyse enduring colonial legacies. Two prominent approaches—Postcolonial Theory and Colonial Discourse Analysis provide essential tools to understand the reproduction of dominance after empire. Both frameworks address the persistence of colonial dominance following decolonization. Postcolonial Theory frames this through an analytical apparatus that spans cultural-ideological, geopolitical, and institutional registers. Colonial Discourse Analysis foregrounds discourse and ideological reproduction, documenting the localization of imperial rationalities in newly independent states. Combined, they create a versatile interdisciplinary methodology well-suited to probing the multifaceted nature of colonial legacies across history, politics, and culture (R. Tracy, 2012) (Vogel, 2013) (for Translation & Literary Studies and BENSIDHOU, 2019). While Postcolonial theory constitutes the critical theoretical framework broadly devoted to understanding the cultural legacy of colonialism and imperialism. Colonial Discourse Analysis explores the specific colonial mechanisms through which the (post)colonizer has constructed the (post)colonized (for Translation & Literary Studies and BENSIDHOU, 2019). The issue of representation at the level of discourse is central to the understandings of power and domination evident in colonial and postcolonial cultures, and colonial discourse analysis examines the institutions and modes of colonial representation. Through literature, different colonial-discourse-like structures of representation are constructed and reconstructed, embodying the struggle between the colonizer and the colonized. Imperialism entails a variety of discursive strategies serving to represent the colonized as inferior, in order to justify the civilizing mission, a project giving legitimacy to the imposition of power and control (R. Tracy, 2012).

This theme remains one of the paramount concerns of postcolonial literature, notably in the works of Achebe and Ngugi wa Thiong'o, both of whom contest the persistence of Western ideas and representations in postcolonial culture. Identity and hybridity are core motifs in postcolonial literature, responding to the legacy of colonialism by questioning national, regional, ethnic, and individual identities. Although an essential aspect of postcolonial experience, scholars debate the applicability of hybridity and other markers of identity in every context. Memory and trauma are also crucial themes, with many postcolonial writers revisiting colonial events and experiences to comprehend the complicated relationships between postcolonial societies and their colonial histories. However, the inherent use of memory in nationalist historical narratives is another topic that remains open to scrutiny. Resistance and empowerment are recurring patterns in postcolonial texts, bearing the imprint of their historical entanglement. The suffering inflicted during and after colonization is a fertile ground for postcolonial literature. Moreover, the problems faced by formerly colonized peoples can be addressed only through the recognition and internalization of their formerly subjugated selves, an essential step toward achieving any form of agency. Conversely, literature also challenges the dominant narratives of empowerment that western society constructed during colonization. Postcolonial culture focuses intensely on memory and trauma since this form of cultural production is fundamentally predicated on the projection and retrieval of lost or displaced memories. Cultural trauma includes events such as massive displacement; disease; genocide; enslavement; terrorism; imprisonment; torture; rape; war; totalitarian regimes; and other repressive, oppressive events. The important question, then, is not whether an event can be labeled a trauma but whether a collectivity feels that it has 'been subjected to a horrendous event that leaves indelible marks upon group consciousness, marking their memories forever and changing their future identity in fundamental and irrevocable ways.' Such events should at least include colonization, slavery, decolonization, and neo-colonialism in the postcolonial context (Arizti Martín, 2013) (Msiska, 2018) (Visvis, 2013). Within this conversation, feminist and queer theorists raise concerns about resistance and empowerment. As they note, different groups have experienced colonialism and its legacy in different ways. Women and other minorities often remain marginalized. Postcolonial feminism offers a critical space in which both gender and postcolonial identities can be analysed. At the same time, it offers a model for resistance and empowerment as well. It challenges, corrects, or revises many of the central assumptions found in Eurocentrism. Much work is developing within this discourse, and it continues to address the legacy of colonialism in new ways (R. Tracy, 2012). Chinua Achebe (b.1930) is widely recognized as the founding father of modern African literature in English. His debut novel *Things Fall Apart* (1958) depicts pre-colonial Igbo society and the disintegration of its complex culture after contact with British colonial power. In addition, Achebe examined postcolonial politics and the Nigerian civil war in novels such as *A Man of the People* (1966) and *Anthills of the Savannah* (1987) (Borman, 2012). Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o (b.1938) is a founder of postcolonial theory. He was initially a spokesman for the concerns and aspirations of the new postcolonial nations, advocating in *Decolonising the Mind* (1986) a turn from English to African languages in literature. Later he explored the legacies of colonialism through hybridisation and twentieth-century migrations. His works from the 1970s onwards include *A Grain of Wheat* (1967) and *Petals of Blood* (1977). Salman Rushdie (b.1947) has been an influential figure for two generations of postcolonial writers, especially those who highlight the persistence of the colonial encounter across cultures and political periods. His first two novels *Skandar and the Unicorn Thief* (1987) and *Grimus* (1975) received relatively little attention compared with *Midnight's Children* (1981) and *Shame* (1983). The latter are typically seen as the major foundation texts of Indian postcolonial fiction (Vogel, 2013). Toni Morrison (b.1931) has explored how black Americans experience the legacy of slavery and colonialism. Her first novel, *The Bluest Eye* (1970), marks the start of a career dedicated to examining this experience through a distinctive narrative craft. *Paradise* (1997) is among the most recent of Morrison's works, but she continues a vigorous schedule as a critic and occasional essayist. Chinua Achebe's work is frequently interpreted as a contested text of nationalist resistance, yet it primarily conveys a melancholic awareness of the collapse of pre-colonial societies and cultures—a perspective he shares with other post-colonial writers, such as Wole Soyinka and Gabriel Garcia Marquez, whose politics resist revolutionary orthodoxies. A closely reasoned reading of Achebe's oeuvre, with particular emphasis on his first novel *Things Fall Apart*, reveals a politics that demythologizes the colonial and post-colonial myths of legitimization and delegitimation. The post-colonial space is thus conceived as a cultural representation of the destabilization of colonial identities, territories, and subjectivities precipitated by the transition from European imperial domination to the era of American and Japanese multinational capitalism (Jeyifo, 2018). Ngugi wa Thiong'o is a Kenyan novelist who formerly wrote in English and now writes in Gikuyu. He faced the threat of linguistic castration as Europhone writing was considered the norm during colonial days and continued post-independence. Literature suffered in neo-colonial Kenya, with writers caught in a dilemma of subject and language choice. Ngũgĩ suggested that writers should align with the common people and participate in their struggles against neo-colonialism (Kumar Nag, 2013). He wrote more against cultural imperialism and neo-colonialism than economic or political issues, fearing Kenya's cultural and literary dilution. Cultural neo-colonialism is seen as a continuation of imperialist influence post-independence, perpetuated through ideological brainwashing. Ngũgĩ's novels serve as tools for resistance, nationalist consciousness, and rewriting

colonial history. He lamented Kenya's ongoing suffering under neo-colonialism, especially amidst rising transnational monopolies and the exploitation through IMF and the World Bank. Salman Rushdie's literary works epitomize key thematic and structural elements that are alternatively diagnosed as post-colonial, post-modern and, crossing the boundaries of nation, chronology and genre, as magical realism. Employing the emblematic conditions of excessive historical memory, political trauma and cultural hybridity, Rushdie's novels pursue the exploration of history and identity in the post-colonial world through a distinctive spatial and temporal architecture. Diverse patterns of hybrid identity are central to Rushdie's fiction. These include hybrids of history, post-colonial nation and personal migration. The story-telling mode of *Midnight's Children* serves to interweave historical narrative and individual experience, challenging the master-narrative of official history and providing a voice, if not unambiguously authoritative, to the silenced majorities excluded by the histories of Empire. A hybrid history is constructed, operating across the collective and the personal, across the dominant and the marginal and across the factual and the imaginary. An inclusive diversity of tradition, faith and culture gives India an imaginative flexibility reflected in the novel's narrative structure. Rushdie's rendering of Kashmir remains dismally monochrome, but democratic multiplicity and a willingness to embrace difference become defining post-colonial ideals. The novel identifies the Pakistani nation as a condition of hybrid origins, hybridity with which the secular state cannot cope. Abuse of 'pure' categorisations precipitates a process of violent repression whose aftermath Salman Rushdie dramatizes in discourse at once ambitious and endangered, humorous and grievous, playful and tragic. 'Hybridity' governs his nation and his nation's narrative (Brown, 2011). Toni Morrison's fiction dramatizes the construction and deconstruction of black female subjectivity. She depicts the wreckage of the black female-self caused by the legacies of slavery through narratives defined by female subjectivity and sketches of black women's lives and daily experiences. Morrison's revisionist narratives concerning slavery and its aftermaths interrogate how and why so many of the descendants of the enslaved consider themselves and others 'modern'. Postcolonial subjects are searched for and located in a constructed fluid space of displacement and deterritorialization. The Caribbean is a special place for this conception: a place of geographical and historical displacement and an emblem of contested identitarian politics that reflect divergent national and sexual passions. Morrison, like most postcolonial writers, writes back to Empire by re-expressing a history of loss, trauma, and displacement and a quest for identity that challenges the legacy of colonial domination (R. Tracy, 2012).

#### **Narrative Techniques in Postcolonial Literature:**

Postcolonial texts commonly employ figure-based literary techniques or direct structure to deliver their messages and evoke emotional and intellectual reactions in readers. Typically, not only the major works but also the essential subject matter main content in post-colonial literature can express the author's point of view. A frequent approach is blending the post-colonial author's culture with his background; post-colonial literature often incorporates languages other than English, such as Hindustani, Panjabi, Urdu, or Sanskrit, with neither being regarded as superior to the other. These narratives first highlight the differences in culture and then demonstrate how to merge these cultural distinctions.

Another technique involves the use of pure English to express the antagonist's unfamiliarity and identify him in a specific way. Native languages and cultures frequently receive negative portrayals in literary works because the colonizers considered them inferior. In certain cases, postcolonial authors articulate the journey of individuals immersed in Western cultural confidence yet residing within colonial enclaves. European writing also shapes the characters serving as antagonists in postcolonial stories.

#### **Figurative Language in Postcolonial Works:**

Postcolonial authors often utilize figurative language to convey their viewpoints effectively. Shakespeare's plays, including *Hamlet*, frequently serve as templates for postcolonial narratives. Postcolonial authors employ literary devices to critique Western dominance and portray the suffering of the masses under colonial rule. Symbolism features prominently in many novels; elements of nature symbolize the native people's revolt and indomitable spirit, while characters symbolize the dual personalities of the colonized and their allegiances to both Britain and their homelands.

The use of metaphors expresses the parasitic nature of colonizers who exploit the labor of the colonized for fulfilling their desires and achieving power and supremacy. Postcolonial literary works often express themes of lamentation. Postcolonial literature leveraging these techniques enables the authors to communicate their messages to readers with greater impact and to stimulate a more profound emotional and intellectual response. The narrative structures of postcolonial texts often adopt a circular form, utilizing multiple embedded narratives (Msiska, 2016). This structure combines the past and the present, the private and the public, and oral and written traditions within a single text, thereby disrupting any linear or authentic discourse of history and knowledge. Symbolism and metaphor constitute integral figures of thought within postcolonial literature, possessing the aptitude to direct attention towards the historical image distinct from the social function that it assumes (for Translation & Literary Studies and BENSIDHOUM, 2019). These techniques thereby facilitate an engagement with political experience of a well-known and familiar character. Indian novels published during the interwar period replicate a particular image of the native emerging from the period's commonplace political discourse.

The advanced native is allegorized as metaphors of progress, civilization or enlightenment—figures that underwrite the imperial discourse upon which nationalist assertions subsequently hinge or against which they are formulated. This modularity allows for the remobilization of the same figures within colonial narratives, a function that tends to be played out by the metaphor itself, which becomes a substitute for the political subject (El Samad, 2014). Symbolism thus remains more direct, entertaining a more sustained relation with a recognisable referent—invariably the historical figure—which it highlights and frames. Within the dominant mode it is more prone to become stylised and conventionalised, approaching the condition of a generic stereotype or personification (Lovey, 2013). The fowl, in particular the cock or rooster, provides a useful example. It occupies a double status within twentieth-century Indian nationalist discourse before becoming a characteristic figure of the writing of this period. It appears concurrently as both extended metaphor and allegorical personification, assigning a dual status to the cock as both literary figure and political symbol. The reason that this figure increases in frequency lies in its recursive and constructed nature, for it encapsulates in a single coherent and readily available figure the core elements of the native self-defined in relation to colonial progress and civilizational discourse: vitality, resistance to imperial aggression and the regeneration and revitalisation of the nation. Language served as a principal agent of colonial control in both direct and subtle forms. It permeated everyday life and dominated official discourse, especially administrative, legislative, religious, and scientific writing. By taxing the indigenous peoples of its colonies to pay for colonisation, the imperial centre was able to enrich itself while the colonised people were left heavily in debt and subject to the economic policies of their new rulers. The value of labour increased in the colonies, but only to the point that it no longer benefited native labourers. Imperialism not only placed colonial subjects under a foreign governing structure but also instituted a global economy entirely subservient to the ruler's interests. Many postcolonial writers incorporate code-switching into their work, typically exhibiting more proficiency in the colonial language (usually English, French, or Spanish) than their mother tongue. The muddling of the two languages is often used as a metaphor for the troubled colonial history that accounts for their dual identity and mixed heritage. Bilingualism, especially when colonial languages are spoken alongside a local dialect, creates a similar effect. Both code-switching and bilingualism demonstrate the ways in which colonialism produces hybrid identities (R. Tracy, 2012). The English language is a tool of power and control closely tied to colonialism and its devastation (Ngiewih Teke, 2013). Language as a colonial instrument generates conditions for imperative change and transformation. The ultimate colonial weapon remains imperial language, yet it can be converted into a foundational instrument against imperial expansion and racial exclusion. Rather than reflecting English-language hegemony, postcolonial texts demonstrate the postcolonial discourse they convey. Salman Rushdie regards the appropriation of English as an act of liberation. Examples of code-switching and bilingualism in postcolonial literature trace the postcolonial subject's ability to orient colonial language to suit indigenous taste in a way imperial English cannot match. The severity of the postcolonial challenge can be measured by the extent to which the colonizing language has to be adapted to accommodate content specific to the postcolony. Postcolonial literature highlights the power of language as a colonial tool of hegemony and explores the dynamics of code-switching that have materialised in the postcolonial world. The Indian caste system was in some ways ideal in the construction of colonial dominance, as it provided a clear and rigid hierarchy that could easily be manipulated to maintain a policy of divide and rule (Paxton and Tyam, 2010).

Postcolonial literature continues to flourish globally, remaining an active and evolving field. Even as the colonial era concludes, feminist and queer theories provide new perspectives on colonial legacies and legacies of power. Both postcolonialism and feminism examine systems of power and control; the former considers colonialism and imperialism, the latter patriarchy. These systems are so intrinsic that colonial writings often perpetuate ideologies of Western superiority and patriarchal power uncritically. Postcolonial feminism situates the discourse of power in a specific, locatable place and time, acknowledging complex geographic relationships to imperial centers of power without negating broader feminist concerns. Tracing the genealogy of power in the patriarchal-system also enables a more nuanced feminist position, acknowledging that a woman's race and class significantly affect her experience and acceptance of patriarchal control. Feminist perspectives in postcolonial studies thus interrogate cultural identities, concepts of feminine sexual difference, and cultural practices underpinning self-perceptions, addressing silences in postcolonial thought and the contributions of minority groups whose experiences challenge Western hegemonies. Such approaches illuminate colonial legacy and postcolonial subject formation in developing nations, exemplified by studies analyzing works like Arundhati Roy's "The God of Small Things." (R. Tracy, 2012) Feminist postcolonial perspectives serve as critical interventions within postcolonial theory by concentrating on the ways gender relations have been influenced, shaped, and implicated in the colonial process. They also assert that colonial histories, patriarchies, and racialized fantasies are fundamental components within bodies of literature that examine the intersections of gender and identity (R. Tracy, 2012). Queer theory has expanded its scope by engaging with postcolonial theory, a development enabled by new formulations of colonialism, identity, and sovereignty. In queer analysis, colonial power capitalizes on three tropes of displacement: that the homosexual is 'out of place'; that homophobia is a defining feature of societies traumatized by and resisting colonialism; and that queer others

form a kind of decolonial sovereignty. At stake in these accounts, however, is precisely the common sense of homophobia, colonialism, and sovereignty. As a tool within a discourse of 'tolerance,' homophobia runs the risk of becoming a dead end: if homophobia justifies war, then war becomes inevitable; if homophobia determines a subject's whereabouts, then the queer subject remains what it has already been called. Against these developments, this section builds on recent critiques of the imperial politics of tolerance (Jude Knife, 2019). Postcolonial theorists associate colonial relations and the world economy with a series of structural or territorial losses that transform the home and the inside of possession into simultaneously reoccupied and abandoned spaces of uncontrollability. The queer, through displacement, displacement, and the constitution of a substitute homothanatophoric adjacency or in-heritance, can be read as an uneven development of what these critiques call the 'persisting structures of possession' (Lemmer, 2018). Such an uneven development returns possession to a condition that a particular dispersion or dehiscence constructs as singularly threatening: possession is there without its proper, its assuring, conditions. The mastery of the white colonial sovereign—who by definition is also the troubled and troubling 'white queer'—appears then as the operational figure of a wider closure through which everything else, possession included, is foreclosed.

The postcolonial literature selected for discussion comprises Heinemann's African Writers Series and includes Chinua Achebe's *Things Fall Apart* and *Arrow of God*, Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o's *A Grain of Wheat* and *Devil on the Cross*, and Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* (R. Tracy, 2012). As the Theory introduces them, these authors and texts illuminate the relationship between colonial legacies and postcolonial identity. They provide examples of the core concerns of postcolonial literature and introduce major formal strategies. The status of colonial legacies and their impact on theoretical understandings can be demonstrated by analysing the core postcolonial concepts — identity, hybridity, memory, trauma, resistance and empowerment — in relation to the works of Achebe, Ngũgĩ and Rushdie. The juxtaposition of postcolonial theory and colonial discourse analysis illustrates the role of identity, hybridity and resistance in colonial legacies. The African and Indian contexts of the Indic writers generate a perspective that enriches an understanding of memory, trauma and the articulations of empowerment. The literary contexts of the three, meanwhile, provide a platform for exploring narrative structures and figurative language. Following an examination of the impact of colonial history and the approaches of key authors, therefore, this paper offers detailed readings of their major works. It demonstrates how consideration of these texts contributes to an understanding of colonial legacies in postcolonial literature. The conclusion signals the trajectory of the argument in the subsequent chapters and sketches the principal challenges faced by postcolonial theory. *Things Fall Apart* by Chinua Achebe presciently addresses postcolonial concerns. Set in late nineteenth-century Nigeria, the novel depicts Igbo society during its traumatic encounter with British imperialism. Achebe exposes the impact of colonialism and Christian missions on indigenous structures, values, and dignity. The narrative constructs an autonomous precolonial world threatened by an alien order, yet it foregrounds "postcolonial" phenomena such as hybridity and ambivalence (Aziz, 2015). Okonkwo's personal struggle resembles Nigeria's struggle for cultural and political identity after the disruptions introduced by European presence. The operations of hegemony are enacted through missionary and administrative systems, which transform religious belief, social relations, habits, and expectations (Sánchez Conejero, 2019). Achebe's early choice to write in English reflects the desire to reclaim history and address a global audience, contesting colonial caricatures of African identity and culture.

*A Grain of Wheat* by Ngugi wa Thiong'o (1938–) narrates the transition from British imperial control to independence in Kenya during the 1950s (Kumar Nag, 2013). Set against the Mau-Mau uprising, it reflects on betrayals that nearly destroyed the anti-colonial resistance, interrogating nationalism and the charged-charismatic authority of the onetime first President Jomo Kenyatta. The novel also explores the ambivalence and disorientation of individuals who do not fit the nationalist narrative. The 1950s saw the rise of numerous independence movements as some 750 million people emerged from colonial subjugation across Asia, Africa, and the Americas, a transition that intensified geopolitical rivalries. African movements were particularly influenced by the First Pan-African Conference in 1919 and political thinkers such as Marcus Garvey and W.E.B. Du Bois. Africans were not only directly eligible for the right to read, write and vote for the first time, but they were also exposed to an array of new ideological currents. The British Empire lost colonial control over many African countries and South Asian states, including India, Pakistan, Singapore, Malaysia, and Myanmar. Postcolonial literature arose in response to the scattered traces of post-war colonial subjugation. Ngũgĩ uses the ironic mode of *A Grain of Wheat* to scrutinize the rupturing effects of the antipodean winds of the 1960s, when revolts and dictatorial regimes toppled the established order in Kenya. A chief administrator, collaborating in and escaping from repressions of the nationalists, is held abroad as a political prisoner, just as other chapters in the history of Kenya are acknowledged prisoner to historical amnesia and the claims of the present. The political history of this period is reconstructed in marginal passages and evocative hints. Also for its text-image relations, *A Grain of Wheat* offers a submerged record of sang real hopes and configurations, deepening our understanding of "what it means to be a writer of the postcolonial world". Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* is a landmark in postcolonial writing that addresses India's troubled passage from colonial subjugation to self-rule (Klassen, 2013). Saleem Sinai, who is born simultaneously with the country, narrates its history

while struggling to understand his own identity—drawn apples to oranges but unable to cope with a crackling telephone line (Mahmutovic, 2012). Through the experiences of a protagonist whose life mirrors the birth and evolution of an independent India, Rushdie explores the enduring impact of colonialism on national and personal identity. Magical realism connects individual experience with national history, revealing the complexity and ambiguity of the transition. When Saleem finally speaks the truth, he is ruled insane and the novel becomes a testimony to the power of silence. Similar questions of voice are found throughout postcolonial writing, which continuously reflects on the influence of earlier European narratives while questioning the significance of language as a vehicle of power.

A decentred narrative structure mirrors the inchoate state of the newly independent India and the broken identity of the narrator. The division into three phases by the modes of magical realism, realism, and allegory reinforces the changed perspectives on the country's history. Attending to this brutal history—famines, war, partition, and political corruption—exposes the reality behind the hopeful idealism of the Independence movement while simultaneously positioning these events within the framework of a broader shared historical narrative. Examining the collective mythology paradoxically reveals the irretrievability of either personal or national memory. The literature of empire encapsulates the colonial experience and illuminates ostensibly exotic worldviews. Concerning postcolonial literature, globalization persists as immense repatriations of capital to former imperial centers intensify the conditions of 'unequal exchange' analysed by dependency theorists, while the spread of diasporic cultures challenges the constraints of 'oral geography' inherited from the nineteenth-century imperial chart. Postcolonial literatures continue to be a very fertile field within literary studies, yet there has recently been a noted skepticism of the continued relevance of the Postcolonial. While transnationalism and cosmopolitanism cannot simply supplant Postcolonial Strategies, it remains critical to accommodate new paradigms in future research, if only to avoid a slippery return to the comparative paradigm highlighted by Spivak (Huggan, 1997).

Environmentalism forms another contemporary focus. The North/South cleavage synthesized within Postcolonial studies was largely formulated through anti-imperial movements, but during the same period, the international resolutions formulated during the Stockholm conference crystallized the emergent ideological cleavage between sites of corporate industrialization and global accumulation and those sites vulnerable to the consequences of climate change. The territories separated by the two ideological axes are much the same: postcolonial literature is, to a significant degree, environmental literature, or articulates with environmental discourse to examine the interplay between 'business as usual' and a form of 'accumulation by dispossession' (Borman, 2012). Globalization draws these various textual practices into a new global awareness, involving moving images, music, video, literature, and dance, some produced locally but enjoying regional or international markets, some produced for the international market bearing globalized impulses, and some produced within transnational industries and markets. Also significant in this hybridity are the pervasive flows and counterflows of contemporary myth-poiesis, whether the media cycles or the global currents of new oral and performance cultures, supplemented by actual physical and ritual re-enactments of myths and history. The contemporary postcolonial context is also for many now a global one, involving the hybrid therapeutics generated by an international comprehension of the conditions of colonial modernity and the diasporic dispersals from the Huracan and post violent upheavals of the global crises of global modernity. Contemporary concerns also include the speed of climate change, environmental degradation, and the subsequent displacements of people in the contemporary world of global modernity (Huggan, 1997). Framing environmental concern as "green politics" with origins in Western cosmologies, instructive if ethnocentric postcolonial studies emphasize the production of nature via historically and culturally specific uses of power, knowledge and discourse. Official narratives often link the loss of indigenous cultures and languages to environmental crisis, juxtaposing myth-poetry against the historical "politics of nature." For Pasifika islanders whose cultures have already suffered sweeping attrition, the opponents of "development" include both extractive multinational corporations and transnational conservation organizations that preach protectionism while alienating access to customary resources. To indigenous bodies, for whom identity entails the land, the belonged-to place may eclipse more formal categories of nation or nation-state, and political action can take the form of spirit possession and pilgrimage. Pacific identities take shape through comparison with other postcolonial and formerly colonized islands and with the metropolitan centre(s), representing power and privilege (H. Katrak, 1992). Mohamed Amin and R. Radhakrishnan present a continuing postcolonial legacy through their film designs, articulating in cinematic form the various postcolonial arguments. For Radhakrishnan the postcolonial idea is finally an idea of reparations for historical injustice. His films—a study of the Indian memorial site at Jallianwala Bagh, and a sequence of images observing the fate of Sikh soldiers who died in World War I, which was presented at the Venice Biennale—register the need for redress outside of political autonomy. Amin's *Khuda Kay Liye* takes a second such perspective. It is a tale of suffering both in British Pakistan and in Pakistan after Partition that makes the act of migration into a point of departure rather than a chance for reterritorialization. The postcolonial literature genre encompasses a vast creative body from diverse nations and cultures formerly under British colonial rule. The legacy of colonialism permeates these writings and shapes their reception, necessitating

scholarly contextualization of this historical backdrop. The uniqueness of this literature lies in its conscious approach to analysing and representing the insidious effects of imperialist conquest. The colonial history of the modern era was, replete with hardship and agony, was the dominant linear trajectory along which the period's global political history developed, for the twentieth century witnessed the rapid disintegration of Western colonization and postcolonial literatures contributed considerably to the anti-colonial movements that became important in shaping the present world (Rezaul Haque, 2013). Postcolonial criticism emerged in the late 1970s and thirty years on remains a fast-expanding critical field, with an ever-evolving array of analytical frameworks. The story that emerges from these developments is one of extraordinary richness and variety, both theoretical and cultural.

The assessment of postcolonial literary works is a significant component of understanding their societal contribution. Critical responses can be categorized as mainstream or oppositional. Mainstream critics focus on how societies transition from colonial to independent systems and analyze the development of hybrid cultures (R. Tracy, 2012). Contributors to literary journals uphold established concepts within postcolonial discourse, including notions of hybridity, dependency, unease, and the politics of language ((Novita) Dewi, 2016). New directions in postcolonial studies are emerging from the phenomenon of globalization and the new issues faced by postcolonial nations, especially environmental issues. Literary and cultural production is no longer contained within the borders of postcolonies because globalization enables movement of ideas and peoples across boundaries. Because the conditions in the postcolonies are connected to the conditions in other parts of the world and are the result of local and international issues, questions are raised about who should be represented in postcolonies, such as indigenous, settler, or their descendants. Questions of class are also raised. Apart from new academic interests, literary production in postcolonies is now absorbed into popular culture. The postcolonial world also faces environmental destruction. Future directions in postcolonial literature are shaped by its reception. Some critics have pointed out that readers, either sympathetic or unsympathetic towards postcolonial literature, often analyse it only through the lenses of postcolonial theory and cannot separate theorisations from literary texts. Postcolonial critics should be attentive to how non-postcolonial readers use postcolonial texts in generating their theories about the postcolonies and how postcolonial book culture interacts with popular culture. As the postcolonial world expands, there is growing interest in new and younger writers. Methodologies from the humanities and social sciences are employed to understand the past and present conditions, thereby deepening the understanding of postcolonial literature. Aside from established authors, a number of medium- and small-scale voices have emerged in the field of postcolonial literature (R. Tracy, 2012). However, now the world is facing challenges of globalization, environmental issues, and social power structures in neoliberal projects that must be confronted. The study of postcolonialism involves analysing texts emanating from former colonies, whose sophisticated perspectives revolve around issues stemming from colonial and postcolonial structures. Accordingly, it is necessary to recognize that "postcolonial" is not merely a privileged lens for analysing global situations but rather outlines the limits of the separate world from the West. Henceforth, indigenous or formerly colonized regions matter when variables like geographical location, history, and structure are incorporated. As a result, the idea of colonial/postcolonial political structures leads to an expanded concern with Global South, aiming to envision "postcolonial" as the "South," a notion that has attracted much debate and criticism. Already, postcolonial studies face a stage where its relevance and influence on practice in modern humanities are continuously defined and redefined on a larger scale. From the onset, the unique feature of postcolonial studies lies in its demand for the deconstruction of colonization, explaining the power of signs. Such roots will undoubtedly continue to exert influence, especially amid the contemporary demand for an inquiry into civilization. The encounter between the West and the Rest in the postcolonial domain has given birth to numerous theories and methods applicable to broader current issues, thereby establishing the rationale for continuing the intellectual position in postcolonial discourse today. The disciplines of history, anthropology, and cultural studies have all made vital contributions to postcolonial investigations. Their most important concerns include issues of hybridity, identity, and assimilation. Postcolonial Theory explores these ideas and issues through many different theorists across the humanities and social sciences. Colonial Discourse Analysis considers the dialogue between the colonizer and the colonized and how the acquired knowledge of the colonizer tends to undermine the colonized, repressing or destroying upper native narratives. The effect of colonialism on identity forms a major theme in contemporary postcolonial writing, as authors from formerly colonized regions re-imagine self-representation and the human consequences of the ethnic, cultural, and racial divisions of colonial rule. Colonialism imposed physical and mental boundaries between colonizer and colonized through strict social hierarchies that inflicted forms of discrimination. It also inflicted divergence between English and native languages, creating a variety of hybridity through code-switching. Memory and trauma play a significant role in the narratives of postcolonial authors who have endured sexual and racial abuse. Nevertheless, resistance against colonial oppression and an effort to redefine historical and cultural legacies are some of the most important themes in postcolonial literature, enabling a reclaiming of power lost during colonial times. Focusing on colonial legacies as understood within postcolonial literature, the overview first offers a historical summary of colonialism, together with a brief outline of relevant

theory. In addition, an examination of key stages of the development of postcolonial literature provides a basis for reviewing major themes, such as those of identity and hybridity, memory and trauma, and resistance and empowerment. The discussion then considers some of the more influential practitioners of postcolonial writing, before outlining some of the most frequently used literary techniques. After exploring the dialectical role of language and the specific case of gender, the survey concludes with three brief case studies of major works. Finally, a consideration of contemporary issues is followed by a discussion of changing attitudes and interpretations, and of likely future directions. In sum, the literature demonstrates that the legacy of British colonialism lives on in many spheres—literary, linguistic, social, ethnic, political, religious, and economic—and that postcolonial writers continue to resist its residual effects whenever and wherever they exist. The history of colonialism has been long and painful, but this is neither the time nor place to provide a detailed account of the subjugation practised by one country or another. In order to understand the present, it is necessary to have some appreciation of the past, and it is important to recognise the implications of the Greek derivations colonialism (settlement) and imperialism (rule). Different views are summarised in the article entitled Postcolonialism. Moreover, the three key strands of theory—Postcolonial Theory, encompassing the principal perspectives of all, along with Colonial Discourse Analysis specifically concerned with British colonialism—are outlined.

### **Conclusion**

The study of postcolonial literature reveals that the legacies of colonialism remain deeply embedded in the cultural, political, and linguistic fabric of former colonies. Through themes such as identity and hybridity, memory and trauma, and resistance and empowerment, postcolonial writers continue to question the dominance of colonial narratives and reclaim spaces for marginalized voices. The works of Achebe, Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, Salman Rushdie, and Toni Morrison demonstrate how literature becomes a site of negotiation, where colonial histories are re-examined and reinterpreted. Postcolonial discourse also emphasizes the transformative power of language, symbolism, and narrative techniques in challenging imperial authority. By engaging with feminist and queer perspectives, the field has expanded its scope to address intersecting oppressions, thereby enriching the discourse on colonial legacies. Moreover, contemporary postcolonial studies intersect with globalization, environmental concerns, and cultural displacement, showing that colonial residues are not merely historical but are continuously reshaped in modern contexts. Ultimately, postcolonial literature is not only a testimony of past injustices but also a powerful means of envisioning alternative futures. It encourages a rethinking of history, identity, and culture in ways that resist domination and affirm diversity. By uncovering the complex interactions between colonizer and colonized, these writings remind us that the process of decolonization—intellectual, cultural, and social—remains an ongoing endeavor.

### **Acknowledgment**

would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who supported and encouraged me in the completion of this work. I am deeply thankful to my institution, Vivekanand Mahavidyalaya, Bhadrawati, for providing the necessary academic environment and resources. I extend my heartfelt appreciation to my colleagues and peers in the Department of English for their valuable discussions, suggestions, and encouragement throughout the preparation of this paper.

### **Financial support and sponsorship**

Nil.

### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper

### **References:**

1. Borman, D. "Review of Literature for Our Times: Postcolonial Studies in the Twenty-First Century, edited by Bill Ashcroft et al.." 2012.
2. R. Tracy, O. "Hundreds of Years in Every Face: Continuing Colonial Influence on Postcolonial Representations of Women." 2012.
3. Vogel, D. "Joseph Conrad in the light of postcolonialism." 2013.
4. for Translation & Literary Studies, A. W. E. J. and BENSIDHOUM, F. "Unraveling the System of Representation of the Colonizer in E. M. Forster's *A Passage to India* (1924) and Louis Bertrand's *La Cina* (1901)." 2019.
5. Arizti Martín, B. "So you are from the islands?" The Articulation of Structural and Historical Trauma in Jamaica Kincaid's *Lucy*." 2013.
6. Msiska, M. H. "Colonialism, trauma and affect: Chinua Achebe's *Arrow of God* as Oduche's Return." 2018.
7. Visvis, V. "Postcolonial Trauma in David Bergen's *The Time in Between*." 2013.
8. Jeyifo, B. "For Chinua Achebe: The Resilience and the Predicament of Obierika." 2018.
9. Kumar Nag, S. "Fighting Neocolonialism: A Case Study of the Selected Novels of Ngugi Wa Thiong'o." 2013.
10. Brown, J. "East / West: Salman Rushdie and Hybridity." 2011.

11. Msiska, M. H. "The novel and decolonization in Africa." 2016.
12. El Samad, S. "WORDS, RHYTHM AND MEANING: LANGUAGE AND HYBRIDITY." 2014.
13. Lovey, H. "Letting Guilt Within The Walls: Colonial Ideology and Le Guin's Literature of Resistance." 2013.
14. Ngiewih Teke, C. "The Vulnerability of Imperial Language as a Transformational Tool in Postcolonial Transcultural Discourse." 2013.
15. Paxton, M. and Tyam, N. "Xhosalising English? Negotiating meaning and identity in economics." 2010.
16. Jude Knife, T. "Toxic Roots: The Remnants of White Minority Rule in Zimbabwe." 2019.
17. Lemmer, S. "On Twisted Sovereignty: White Queer as Master and Slave and Other Post structural Perversions." 2018.
18. Aziz, S. "Multiculturalism in Chinua Achebe's novels *Things Fall Apart* and *No Longer at Ease*." 2015.
19. Sánchez Conejero, P. "British colonialism in Nigeria and Kenya: a post-colonial study through *things fall apart* and the river between." 2019.
20. Klassen, T. "The Uncertainty of National and Cultural Identity in Salman Rushdie's *East, West* and *Midnight's Children*." 2013.
21. Mahmutovic, A. "Midnight's Children: From Communalism to Community." 2012.
22. Huggan, G. "The Neocolonialism of Postcolonialism: A cautionary note." 1997.
23. H. Katrak, K. "Language and Geography: The Postcolonial Critic." 1992.
24. Rezaul Haque, M. "Unfolding the Baroque, Scaffolding the Postcolonial: Towards a Postcolonial Aesthetics." 2013.
25. (Novita) Dewi, N. "Interface of Linguistics, Literature, and Culture in Translating Singapore and Sri Lanka Postcolonial Poetry." 2016.