Urban Alienation and Changing Identities in Contemporary Indian Fiction

Rajshree Sachin Akhade
Asst. Professor in English,Jai Hind Educational Trust's
Zulal Bhilajirao Patil College Dhule

Submitted: 05-sept-2025 Revised: 20-Sept-2025 Accepted: 15-Oct 2025 Published: 31-Oct-2025

Manuscript ID:
IJEWLPSIR-2025-020519

Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):
This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work no commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations ae licensed under the identical terms.

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.23724923
DOI Link:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23724923

Volume: 2

Issue:5

Month: Oct 2025

E-ISSN: 3065-7873

Asst. Professor in English,Jai Hind Educational Trust's Zulal Bhilajirao Patil College Dhule

How to cite this article:
Akhade, R. S. (2025). Urban Alienation and Changing Identities in Contemporary Indian Fiction. International Journal of English and World Languages & Literature Paradigm Shift in International Research, 2(5), 83–87. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23724923

Address for correspondence:
Rajshree Sachin Akhade
Asst. Professor in English,Jai Hind Educational Trust's Zulal Bhilajirao Patil College Dhule

Abstract:

This study looks at urban alienation and changing identities in contemporary Indian fiction. It studies four novels: Aravind Adiga's The White Tiger, Kiran Desai's The Inheritance of Loss, Jhumpa Lahiri's The Namesake, and Vikas Swarup's Q & A. The study shows that cities give people jobs, education, and freedom, but also bring loneliness, fear, poverty, and social distance. Characters feel cut off from society because of class inequality, migration, and cultural conflict. City life also changes who they are. Balram becomes an entrepreneur, Gogol accepts his Bengali and American identity, Biju struggles as an immigrant worker, and Ram proves his worth through knowledge. The study shows that alienation is not only personal; unequal city life creates it. Characters resist it and search for new belonging, though this often remains incomplete.

Keywords: Urban alienation; changing identity; contemporary Indian fiction; migration; class inequality; tradition and modernity.

Introduction:

Contemporary Indian fiction presents the city as a decisive force in the making and unmaking of identity. Since economic liberalisation, Indian cities have been reshaped by migration, consumer culture, class inequality, and new infrastructures, producing both opportunity and estrangement. Alex Tickell argues that contemporary anglophone Indian fiction imaginatively engages with urban transformation by linking water, housing, transport, and communication systems to questions of citizenship, collective rights, and equality (Tickell). In this literary field, the city is therefore not merely a backdrop but a social and psychological environment in which identities are contested, fractured, and remade.

Urban alienation in this study refers to the individual's emotional, social, and psychological separation from community, place, and even the self. The metropolis offers mobility, anonymity, employment, and cultural exchange, yet it also intensifies loneliness, competition, and dislocation. Ines Detmers observes that in Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger*, conflicted urban spaces function as contested sites for fashioning the entrepreneur as a new and precarious figure of the "New Metropolis" (Detmers 535–45). Adiga's Balram Halwai exemplifies how neoliberal aspiration can produce a new selfhood while deepening class conflict and moral isolation. Similarly, Shakti Jaising shows that neoliberal capitalism generates continuities in class dynamics and subjective experience across contemporary Indian cultural production (Jaising). Alienation, then, is not simply personal loneliness but a structural condition of unequal urban modernity.

Changing identities emerge as characters negotiate tradition and modernity, rural origin and urban aspiration, local belonging and global desire. Ana Cristina Mendes notes that Adiga stages a "Dark India" within global literary and economic circuits, exposing the contradictions of representation and class (Mendes). Manav Ratti further reads *The White Tiger* through subalternism and literary justice, emphasising the ethical stakes of representing marginalised lives (Ratti). By analysing selected contemporary Indian novels, this study argues that urban alienation and changing identity are deeply interdependent themes: the city fragments inherited selves, yet also enables resistance, reinvention, and new forms of belonging. Contemporary Indian fiction thus records the human cost of urban modernity while questioning home, community, and selfhood in twenty-first-century India.

Review of Literature:

1. In his study (2021), Muqarram Khorakiwala examines identity construction in Jhumpa Lahiri's The Namesake. He finds that diasporic people have many and shifting identities. His study shows that the self changes through the relationship between "self" and "other." It helps this research by explaining cultural hybridity and the struggle to belong in a new place.
2. Khushu-Lahiri (2015) , study explore the idea of "home" in The Namesake. They find that home is not only a physical place. For migrants, home becomes a memory and a feeling.

Their study helps this research because it shows how displaced people create new identities while living between two cultures.

3. In their study (2023), Imran Nazeer, Areeba Afzal, and Madiha Ashraf examine identity and the immigrant experience in The Namesake. They find that family, heritage, and social expectations shape a person's identity. Their study shows that cultural clash creates both problems and adaptation. It helps this research by explaining belonging in a globalised world.
4. Narayan Prasad Ammai studies identity crisis in Kiran Desai's The Inheritance of Loss. He finds that the Judge, the Cook, Sai, Biju, and Gyan struggle with identity in their own country and in foreign lands. His study shows that modernisation and globalisation weaken a strong sense of belonging.
5. In her study (2021), Chiou-Rung Deng examines cultural identity in The Inheritance of Loss. She finds that globalisation, migration, and colonial history create cultural conflict. Her study shows that characters negotiate between Indian and Western cultures. It helps this research because it explains how cultural difference produces alienation and changes the idea of home.
6. Bhanu Bhakta Sharma Kandel (2025) studies resistance in Kiran Desai's The Inheritance of Loss. He finds that colonialism, globalisation, and displacement affect cultural identity. His study shows that marginalised people resist domination and redefine their identity. It supports this research by connecting alienation, power, and the search for belonging

Objectives of the Study

- To study the idea of urban alienation in selected contemporary Indian fiction.
- To examine how city life creates loneliness, fear, and a feeling of being cut off from society.
- To analyse the changing identities of characters living in modern Indian cities.
- To study the conflict between tradition and modernity in the lives of urban characters.
- To examine how characters resist alienation and try to create new forms of identity and belonging.

Discussion and Analysis

This section discusses the five objectives of the study through selected contemporary Indian novels: Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* (2008), Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss* (2006), Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake* (2003), and Vikas Swarup's *Q & A* (2005). These novels present different urban spaces and show how city life affects identity, relationships, and belonging. The discussion uses examples from the novels to explain each objective in simple terms.

Urban Alienation in Contemporary Indian Fiction

The first objective is to examine urban alienation in selected contemporary Indian fiction. Urban alienation means that a person feels separated from other people, from a place, or from his or her own self. In the city, a person may see crowds, offices, shops, and neighbours every day, but still feel alone. This happens because city life is fast, competitive, and often impersonal. People meet many strangers, but they may not form deep relationships with them.

In *The White Tiger*, Adiga presents Delhi and Gurgaon as places of sharp class division. Balram Halwai comes from a poor village and works as a driver for a rich family. In the city, he sees malls, private hospitals, and gated apartments, but he also sees poverty, dirt, and exploitation. The city gives him work, yet it does not give him respect or equal status. He remains an outsider in the world of his employers. He serves rich people and drives their cars, but he cannot enter their world. The city divides people into rich and poor, and this division creates emotional distance.

In *Q & A*, Swarup presents Mumbai as a city of extreme contrast. Ram Mohammad Thomas grows up in poverty and faces violence, exploitation, and social rejection. He moves through different parts of the city and meets people from different classes. Mumbai gives him experiences, but it also exposes him to cruelty. The city does not protect him; instead, it tests him again and again. His alienation comes from poverty and from the fact that society judges him by his class rather than by his intelligence or humanity. When he wins a quiz show, people refuse to believe that a poor boy can know the answers. This shows that the city does not treat all people equally.

The novels therefore show that urban alienation is not only an individual feeling. It is connected to social structures. Poverty, class inequality, migration, and lack of support make people feel isolated. A city may offer jobs and opportunities, but it can also make a person feel invisible. This is the central idea of the first objective: contemporary Indian fiction presents alienation as a social and psychological condition created by unequal urban life.

City Life, Loneliness, and Social Separation

The second objective is to examine how city life creates loneliness, fear, and a feeling of being cut off from society. Cities are full of people, but this does not mean that people feel connected. The large number of strangers can increase loneliness. People may live in the same building for years without knowing their neighbours. They may work in the same office but remain emotionally distant from one another.

In *The White Tiger*, Balram feels lonely even when he is close to his employers. He lives in their apartment complex and drives their car, but he is not treated as an equal human being. He is expected to remain silent, obedient, and available at all times. His work makes him dependent on the rich family, but it does not give him emotional security. He fears losing his job because poverty waits outside the gates of wealth. This fear controls his actions and limits his freedom. The city gives him employment, but it also keeps him in anxiety.

In *The Inheritance of Loss*, Desai presents loneliness through characters who live between different places and cultures. Biju, an illegal immigrant in New York, works in restaurants and lives in crowded basements. He is surrounded by other migrants, but he remains lonely because he has no secure home, no legal status, and no stable

future. His life in the city is full of hard work, but it does not give him dignity. He sends money to his father in Kalimpong, yet he cannot fully belong to either India or America. His alienation is both urban and transnational.

The judge, Jemubhai Patel, also experiences alienation. He was educated in England and returns to India with English habits and a strong dislike for Indian ways of life. He admires English culture but cannot fully become English. At the same time, he feels distant from Indian society. His loneliness shows that alienation can also come from cultural confusion. A person may live in one place but emotionally belong nowhere.

In *The Namesake*, Lahiri shows loneliness through Gogol Ganguli, the son of Bengali immigrants in the United States. Gogol grows up in America, but his parents maintain Bengali traditions at home. He feels caught between two cultures. At home, he is expected to follow Bengali customs; outside, he wants to live like an American. His name becomes a symbol of this problem. He dislikes the name Gogol because it connects him with his father's past. Later, he changes his name to Nikhil, but he still feels unsure about who he really is. Lahiri writes that Gogol is "afraid to be Nikhil, someone he doesn't know" (Lahiri 102). This shows that changing a name does not automatically solve an identity problem.

The novels show that city life can create loneliness in many ways. It can come from poverty, migration, class difference, cultural conflict, or the pressure to succeed. In each case, the city offers opportunities but also creates emotional distance. This supports the second objective: urban life produces loneliness and separation because it is often unequal, competitive, and culturally complex.

Changing Identities in Modern Indian Cities

The third objective is to analyse the changing identities of characters living in modern Indian cities. Identity means the way a person understands himself or herself. It includes name, language, class, religion, gender, occupation, and cultural background. In contemporary Indian fiction, identity is not fixed. It changes when people move to cities, get new jobs, meet new people, and face new social conditions.

In *The White Tiger*, Balram's identity changes completely. In the beginning, he is a poor village boy who is expected to obey the rich. When he comes to Delhi, he becomes a driver and servant. In the city, he learns how rich people live and how the poor are treated. Slowly, he begins to question the system. He no longer accepts the identity of an obedient servant. He wants to become an entrepreneur. At the end of the novel, he starts a taxi business in Bangalore. His identity changes from servant to businessman, but this change is morally complex. He becomes free by committing murder. Adiga therefore shows that identity change in the city can involve both hope and violence.

In *The Namesake*, Gogol's identity changes through his name, education, relationships, and cultural choices. As a child, he accepts his name because it is given by his father. As a young man, he rejects it because he wants independence. He changes his name to Nikhil and tries to live an American life. However, after his father's death, he begins to understand the meaning of his original name. He realises that his father chose the name to remember a book that saved his life. Gogol's identity therefore changes again. He learns that he cannot simply reject his past. His Bengali background remains part of who he is.

Gogol's case shows that identity in the city is formed through negotiation. A person does not completely leave behind his or her old culture when entering a new urban environment. Instead, the person combines old and new elements. Gogol becomes neither fully Bengali nor fully American. He becomes a hybrid person, living between two cultures. This hybrid identity is common in contemporary Indian fiction, especially in stories of migration and diaspora.

In *The Inheritance of Loss*, Biju's identity also changes. In India, he is a son and a member of a small community. In New York, he becomes an illegal worker. His identity is reduced to his job and legal status. He works in kitchens, cleans dishes, and lives in hiding. The city treats him as labour, not as a full human being. Yet Biju does not lose his connection with India. His love for his father and his memories of home keep his Indian identity alive. His identity is split between the city where he works and the homeland where his family lives.

These examples show that urban identity is dynamic. People change their names, clothes, language, jobs, and habits to survive or succeed in the city. However, they also carry their past with them. Contemporary Indian fiction presents identity as a process, not as a fixed fact. This fulfils the third objective: modern cities create new identities, but these identities are often mixed, unstable, and shaped by social inequality.

Tradition, Modernity, and Urban Characters

The fourth objective is to study the conflict between tradition and modernity in the lives of urban characters. Tradition refers to old customs, family values, religion, language, and social expectations. Modernity refers to new lifestyles, education, jobs, technology, individual freedom, and global culture. In contemporary Indian fiction, characters often live between these two forces. They respect their families and traditions, but they also want freedom and success in the modern city.

In *The Namesake*, this conflict is clear in the Ganguli family. Ashoke and Ashima try to maintain Bengali traditions in America. They cook Indian food, celebrate Bengali festivals, and keep in touch with relatives. However, Gogol and Sonia grow up in American schools and adopt American habits. Gogol wants freedom from Bengali expectations. He does not want an arranged marriage, and he does not want to follow every family custom. But after his father's death, he returns to some Bengali practices. This shows that the conflict between tradition and modernity does not end with a simple choice. People often move between both worlds.

Ashima's character also shows this conflict. When she first comes to America, she feels lonely and dependent on her husband. But over time, she learns to live independently. After Ashoke's death, she decides to divide her time between India and the United States. Her identity changes from a dependent immigrant wife to an independent

woman. She accepts modern life without completely giving up Bengali tradition. Her example shows that tradition and modernity can coexist, though not without difficulty.

In *The Inheritance of Loss*, the conflict appears through class and culture. The judge admires English manners and believes that English education is superior. He rejects many Indian customs and feels ashamed of his Indian identity. His attitude shows the influence of colonial history. He believes that modernity means becoming like the West. However, this belief makes him lonely and bitter. Desai suggests that blind imitation of Western culture can create alienation rather than progress.

In *The White Tiger*, tradition and modernity appear through the contrast between village and city. Balram's village represents poverty, superstition, caste hierarchy, and family control. The city represents money, technology, and opportunity. Balram leaves his village because he wants to escape the old social order. However, the city does not give him real equality. It replaces old forms of control with new forms of economic control. Adiga therefore questions the idea that modernity automatically brings freedom. A city can be modern in appearance but unequal in practice.

The novels show that characters do not simply move from tradition to modernity. They carry their traditions with them and adapt them to new urban conditions. Sometimes tradition gives comfort and belonging. Sometimes it restricts freedom. Modernity gives opportunities, but it can also create loneliness and inequality. This supports the fourth objective: contemporary Indian fiction presents tradition and modernity as forces that shape urban identity together.

Resistance, Reinvention, and New Belonging

The fifth objective is to examine how characters resist alienation and try to create new forms of identity and belonging. Although the city creates loneliness and inequality, it also gives people chances to resist, change, and build new lives. Characters do not always accept their condition. Some protest, some migrate, some create new relationships, and some invent new identities.

In *The White Tiger*, Balram resists the system by refusing to remain a servant. He does not accept the identity given to him by society. He wants to become an entrepreneur. His resistance is extreme and morally troubling because he kills his employer. However, Adiga uses this act to show the desperation created by extreme inequality. Balram's action is not presented as a simple solution. Instead, it reveals the violence hidden inside an unequal social order. After coming to Bangalore, Balram creates a new life. He starts a taxi service and calls himself an entrepreneur. He builds a new identity, but he remains alone and fearful. His case shows that resistance can create freedom, but it may not create complete belonging.

In *Q & A*, Ram Mohammad Thomas resists social judgement through knowledge and memory. Society sees him as a poor, uneducated boy. However, his answers in the quiz show come from his real-life experiences. Each answer is connected to a part of his life. His victory challenges the assumption that poor people are ignorant. Ram's resistance is peaceful but powerful. He proves that knowledge is not limited to the rich or educated classes. His story shows that urban alienation can be challenged through courage, intelligence, and self-belief.

In *The Namesake*, Gogol resists alienation by trying to understand his past. At first, he rejects his Bengali identity and his name. Later, after his father's death, he reads the book *The Short Stories of Nikolai Gogol* that his father gave him. This act helps him reconnect with his family history. He begins to accept that his identity includes both his Bengali background and his American life. His resistance is quiet and personal. He resists alienation by accepting his hybrid identity rather than denying one part of it.

In *The Inheritance of Loss*, Biju's return to India can be seen as a form of resistance. He leaves New York because he cannot find dignity there. His return is not a simple success story, but it shows his refusal to continue a life of hiding and exploitation. Desai does not offer an easy solution, but she shows that people continue to seek connection despite social divisions.

The novels therefore show that alienation does not completely destroy people. Many characters find ways to survive and reinvent themselves. They may create new businesses, new relationships, new communities, or new understandings of themselves. However, these new forms of belonging are often incomplete. Characters may gain freedom but lose security, or gain success but lose moral peace. Contemporary Indian fiction presents belonging as a continuing process rather than a final achievement. Thus, the five objectives are clearly answered through these novels.

Conclusion:

The discussion shows that urban alienation and changing identity are closely connected in contemporary Indian fiction. The city gives people jobs, education, freedom, and new chances. At the same time, it creates loneliness, fear, class difference, and a feeling of being cut off from society. In The White Tiger, Balram feels like an outsider even when he works for rich people. In The Inheritance of Loss, Biju feels alone in New York because he has no secure home or legal status. In The Namesake, Gogol feels caught between Bengali culture and American life. In Q & A, Ram is judged by society because he is poor. These examples show that alienation is not only a personal problem. It is also created by unequal city life.

The study also shows that identity is not fixed. It changes when people move to cities, get new jobs, learn new languages, and meet new people. Balram changes from a poor village boy into a businessman. Gogol changes his name and tries to live like an American, but later he understands the value of his Bengali background. Biju becomes an immigrant worker in New York, yet he keeps his love for India. These characters show that modern identity is mixed. People carry their family, culture, and memories with them, but they also adjust to new urban conditions.

Finally, the study finds that characters do not fully accept alienation. They resist it in different ways. Balram tries to become independent, Ram uses his knowledge to challenge social judgement, and Gogol accepts his mixed

identity. These efforts show that the city can break old identities, but it can also help people create new forms of belonging. Contemporary Indian fiction therefore presents the city as a place of both opportunity and pain. It shows the human cost of urban modernity and asks important questions about home, community, dignity, and selfhood in today's India.

Acknowledgement

The author sincerely acknowledges the valuable contributions of scholars, researchers, and literary critics whose works have supported this study. Their insights into urban alienation, changing identities, and contemporary Indian fiction have enriched the understanding of the social, cultural, and psychological dimensions of urban life in modern Indian society.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of thesis paper.

References:

1. Detmers, Ines. "New India? New Metropolis? Reading Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* as a 'Condition-of-India Novel.'" *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, vol. 47, no. 5, 2011, pp. 535–45, https://doi.org/10.1080/17449855.2011.614790.
2. Jaising, Shakti. *Beyond Alterity: Contemporary Indian Fiction and the Neoliberal Script*. Liverpool UP, 2023, https://academic.oup.com/liverpool-scholarship-online/book/56699.
3. Mendes, Ana Cristina. "Exciting Tales of Exotic Dark India: Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger*." *The Journal of Commonwealth Literature*, vol. 45, no. 2, 2010, pp. 275–90, https://doi.org/10.1177/0021989410366896.
4. Ammai, Narayan Prasad. "Identity Crisis in Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss*." *Contemporary Research: An Interdisciplinary Academic Journal*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2023, pp. 107–15, https://doi.org/10.3126/craiaj.v6i1.55390.
5. Deng, Chiou-Rung. "Negotiating Cultural Identity in *The Inheritance of Loss*." *IAFOR Journal of Literature & Librarianship*, vol. 10, no. 2, 2021, pp. 70–84, https://doi.org/10.22492/ijl.10.2.04.
6. Kandel, Bhanu Bhakta Sharma. "The Dynamics of Resistance: Redefining Identity in Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss*." *Academia Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2025, pp. 187–98, https://doi.org/10.3126/ajhss.v2i1.77170.
7. Khorakiwala, Muqarram. "Reflexive Identity Construction in South Asian American Diaspora in Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake*." *ES Review: Spanish Journal of English Studies*, no. 42, 2021, pp. 261–81, https://doi.org/10.24197/ersjes.42.2021.261-281.
8. Nazeer, Imran, Areeba Afzal, and Madiha Ashraf. "Unravelling Identity and the Immigrant Experience in Contemporary American Literature: A Critical Study of Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake*." *Journal of Development and Social Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 3, 2023, pp. 914–25, https://doi.org/10.47205/jdss.2023(4-III)83.
9. Roy, Lekha, and Rajyashree Khushu-Lahiri. "Forging Transnational Identities: A Postethnic Diasporic Re-imaging of 'Home' in Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake*." *Asiatic: IIUM Journal of English Language and Literature*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2015, pp. 110–21,
10. Ratti, Manav. "Justice, Subalternism, and Literary Justice: Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger*." *The Journal of Commonwealth Literature*, vol. 55, no. 3, 2020, pp. 420–37, https://doi.org/10.1177/0021989418777853.
11. Tickell, Alex. *City Fictions of the New India: Literature, Infrastructure, Citizenship*. Oxford UP, 2025, https://doi.org/10.1093/9780198921233.001.0001.
12. Jaising, Shakti. *Beyond Alterity: Contemporary Indian Fiction and the Neoliberal Script*. Liverpool UP, 2023,
13. Desai, Kiran. *The Inheritance of Loss*. Hamish Hamilton, 2006.
14. Lahiri, Jhumpa. *The Namesake*. HarperCollins, 2003.
15. Swarup, Vikas. *Q & A*. Doubleday, 2005.