

# From Frankenstein to Brave New World: The Crisis of Ethics in Scientific Advancement in English Literature

Sanjay Madhukar Karanjkar

M.A. Eng. [SET]Arts & Commerce College Yeola Tal- Yeola, Dist- Nasik

Email- [sanjay007mk@gmail.com](mailto:sanjay007mk@gmail.com)

Submitted: 05-Nov-2025 Revised: 10-Nov-2025 Accepted: 10-Dec 2025 Published: 31-Dec-2025

Manuscript ID:  
IJEWLPSIR-2025-020608



Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work no commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18218631

DOI Link:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18218631>

Volume: 2

Issue: 6

Month: Dec 2025

E-ISSN: 3065-7873

M.A. Eng. [SET]Arts & Commerce  
College Yeola Tal- Yeola, Dist- Nasik  
Email- [sanjay007mk@gmail.com](mailto:sanjay007mk@gmail.com)

## How to cite this article:

Karanjkar, S. M. (2025). From Frankenstein to Brave New World: The Crisis of Ethics in Scientific Advancement in English Literature. *International Journal of English and World Languages & Literature Paradigm Shift in International Research*, 2(6), 26–27.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18218631>

## Address for correspondence:

Anand Arvind Kamble  
(M. A. B.Ed. Sanjay Madhukar Karanjkar  
M.A. Eng. [SET]Arts & Commerce  
College Yeola Tal- Yeola, Dist- Nasik  
Email- [sanjay007mk@gmail.com](mailto:sanjay007mk@gmail.com)

## Abstract

Science has contributed a lot in the progress of human beings in various aspects but has also raised very serious ethical concerns. These concerns are in many times reflected in English literature. The paper examines the way science and ethics are manifested in Frankenstein by Mary Shelley and Brave New World by Aldous Huxley. The dangers of scientific development are both novels depict, only the time they are written was in different periods of time, when the development of science lacked moral responsibility. Shelley dwells on the behaviour of a single scientist, whereas Huxley has given out an entire society dominated by science. The paper asserts that the two authors caution people against forgetting the human values in the name of progress.

**Keywords:** Science and Ethics, Human Values, Responsibility, Frankenstein, Brave New World

## Introduction

Science is vital to the life of human beings. It makes life comfortable, healthy and educated. Meanwhile, it brings about the question of right and wrong. When the power of science increases more rapidly than the moral knowledge, things start to emerge. These issues are not technical but are also profoundly human. Such changes have always been met with English literature. Authors make use of imagination to indicate what can occur in case science is misused.

Through literature, the reader is tempted to consider the responsibility, morality and future of the human society. Frankenstein by Mary Shelley and Brave New World by Aldous Huxley are two relevant works that refer to these problems. The novels were set over a century apart; nevertheless, they address the same fears. The two authors demonstrate how science when unchecked by ethics can be detrimental to the human race rather than useful.

## Ethical Dilemma in Frankenstein.

Frankenstein is a science fiction story. It is predominantly a responsibility tale. Victor Frankenstein is admired to establish life and be a great scientist. His aspiration is a measure of the scientific discovery that was so alive around his era. Victor, however, fails with morality. Since he makes the creature, he leaves it. He is not even speculative regarding its feelings or its future. It is this act that transforms into the actual cause of tragedy in the novel. The monster is discriminated against by his creator and the society. However, the animal, though regarded as a monster, expresses great feelings. He desires affection and wisdom. Victor on the contrary shirks his responsibilities. This contrast demonstrates the message of Shelley quite clearly; intelligence was not worth a lot without compassion.

Frankenstein is still relevant even today. Such experimental domains as artificial intelligence and genetic experiments in the modern sciences pose the same ethical concerns. Shelley takes the readers to remember that scientific success should be accompanied by the sense of morality.

## Science and Control in Brave New World.

Aldous Huxley in Brave New World provides a futuristic society that is completely governed by science. In this case, science is not exploited by a single individual as it happens with Frankenstein. The government controls it. Individuals are created in the laboratories and socialized to perceive their roles. Such emotions like love, pain, and deep thinking are not encouraged. Happiness is induced artificially using drugs and conditioning. The society has been presented as sitting well, but it is not free. Individuals do not make ethical decisions. All the decisions regarding them are made. Huxley demonstrates that comfort without freedom is not the actual happiness. John the Savage is a symbol of pure human values. He is a believer in pain, decision, and feeling. The fact that he is not able to survive in the World State demonstrates the way in which science has killed the real humanity. The fact that he is killed is a powerful outcry against a life of lack of moral choice.

### **Comparison of the Two Novels**

Even though both *Frankenstein* and *Brave New World* are about the misuse of science, they elaborate on it in different manner. In *Frankenstein*, it is the threat of individual egoism. The personal ambition of Victor to greatness welcomes the destruction. On the contrary, in *Brave New World* the threat is in the social and political format and science is used as the means of people control.

The two authors present various anxieties. Mary Shelley is scared of reckless creators whereas Aldous Huxley is scared of mighty systems and institutions. Nevertheless, both authors share one opinion that science which lacks ethics will result in the destruction of human values and humankind itself. This is the main point that is addressed in the research paper. Other contemporary issues that have apparently been anticipated by these novels include cloning, surveillance and privacy loss. Their warnings are also equally relevant and thought-provoking in the modern world, as it is evident after reading these two novels.

### **Significance of Literature in Ethical thought.**

Literature makes the readers see the human aspect of science. Scientific reports are result oriented whereas literature is consequence oriented. It demonstrates the reactions, feelings, and suffering of people. With the help of stories, readers create empathy. They know how *Frankenstein* experiences the pain of his creature, and how little there is to live in the World State. This is a feeling that is gained and is useful in moral thinking.

Thus, literature has a significant role in leading the society. It does not forget to remind us that science must be of service to humanity and not substitute it.

### **Conclusion**

Both, Mary Shelley and Aldous Huxley give solid warnings regarding science advancement. *Frankenstein* demonstrates the harmfulness of the irresponsibility of individuals, whereas *Brave New World* demonstrates the harmfulness of complete domination of science. The two novels allude to moral values as necessary in science. In the absence of ethics, humanity can be ruined in progress. These messages are more topical than ever in the modern era of fast technological development. Science ought to make human life better but should not in any way lose human responsibility.

### **Acknowledgment**

I express my sincere gratitude to my institution, Arts & Commerce College, Yeola, for providing a supportive academic environment and necessary facilities for carrying out this research work.

I am thankful to my colleagues and fellow academicians for their valuable suggestions, insightful discussions, and constructive feedback, which greatly contributed to improving the quality of this paper.

I would also like to acknowledge the scholars and authors whose research works and literary contributions have been instrumental in shaping the theoretical framework of this study. Their writings provided a strong foundation for critical analysis.

Finally, I extend my heartfelt thanks to my family and well-wishers for their constant encouragement, patience, and moral support throughout the completion of this work.

### **Financial support and sponsorship**

Nil.

### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper

### **References**

1. Huxley, Aldous. *Brave New World*. HarperCollins, 2006.
2. Shelley, Mary. *Frankenstein; or, The Modern Prometheus*. Penguin Classics, 2012.
3. Bloom, Harold, editor. *Mary Shelley's Frankenstein: Modern Critical Interpretations*. Chelsea House, 2007.
4. Firchow, Peter Edgerly. *The End of Utopia: A Study of Aldous Huxley's Brave New World*. Bucknell University Press, 1984.
5. Leavis, F. R. *The Great Tradition: George Eliot, Henry James, Joseph Conrad*. Chatto & Windus, 1948.
6. McNeill, William. "Ethics and the New Science in the Modern Age." *Journal of Literature and Ethics*, vol. 12, no. 3, 2019, pp. 45–61.
7. Turkle, Sherry. *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other*. Basic Books, 2011.