A Cyborg Reading of The Memory Police: Digital Erasure, Biopolitics, and Posthuman Resistance in the Global South Context

Dr. Rana Zaidi[1] Kirtika Panjwani[2]

Submitted: 05-Jan -2026 Revised: 10-Jan-2026 Accepted: 10-Feb 2026 Published: 28-Feb-2026

Manuscript ID:
IJEWLPSIR-2026-030103

Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):
This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work no commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations ae licensed under the identical terms.

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21440487
DOI Link:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21440487

Volume: 3

Issue:1

Month: Feb 2026

E-ISSN: 3065-7873

[1]*Head, Department of English Jaipur National University*
[2]*Ph.D. Scholar, Department of English Jaipur National University*
Email: kirtikapanjwani@gmail.com

How to cite this article:
Zaidi, R.& Panjwani, K. (2026). A Cyborg Reading of The Memory Police: Digital Erasure, Biopolitics, and Posthuman Resistance in the Global South Context. International Journal of English and World Languages & Literature Paradigm Shift in International Research, 3(1), 8–15. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21440487

Address for correspondence:
Kirtika Panjwani
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of English Jaipur National University
Email: kirtikapanjwani@gmail.com

Abstract

Yoko Ogawa's The Memory Police (2019) presents a dystopian meditation on state-orchestrated memory erasure, authoritarian control, and the fragmentation of posthuman subjectivity. This paper argues that the novel constitutes a sophisticated literary engagement with contemporary biopolitical regimes through a framework synthesizing Donna Haraway's cyborg theory, Giorgio Agamben's biopolitics, Michel Foucault's panopticism, and Global South perspectives on epistemic violence and memory politics. Unlike previous readings that emphasize the novel's allegorical dimensions, this study positions Ogawa's narrative as a critical intervention in ongoing scholarship on digital erasure, affective surveillance, and the political economy of forgetting in late-capitalist and authoritarian contexts. By extending cyborg theory beyond technological mediation to encompass cognitive and memorial regulation, the paper demonstrates how The Memory Police reimagines posthuman subjectivity as a condition of enforced loss rather than technological augmentation. Furthermore, by situating the novel within postcolonial memory studies and contemporary critiques of epistemic domination, the analysis reveals how the text engages with material realities of cultural erasure, scholasticide, and historical amnesia in the Global South. The paper concludes that Ogawa's novel offers a cautionary yet theoretically generative model of resistance grounded not in revolutionary overthrow but in fragile, embodied practices of remembrance—a conception of resistance that resonates with contemporary movements for epistemic justice and the decolonization of knowledge.

Keywords: *cyborg subjectivity, biopolitics, memorial erasure, surveillance studies, posthumanism, Global South, cultural memory, epistemic violence, affective governance, literary dystopia*

Introduction

Memory functions simultaneously as an intimate, embodied experience and a collective, institutionalized practice. Yet this dual function becomes profoundly destabilized under conditions of enforced forgetting orchestrated by state apparatus. Yoko Ogawa's *The Memory Police*, translated into English in 2019 and subsequently examined in scattered literary criticism, presents a thought experiment that renders visible the political architectures of memorial control (Kumar 2024; Merrill 2024). Set on an unnamed island governed by a totalitarian regime, Ogawa's narrative depicts the systematic disappearance of objects, concepts, and finally human beings from both material reality and collective consciousness. What distinguishes *The Memory Police* from conventional dystopian fiction is its refusal of technological spectacle; the novel's mechanisms of control operate through cognitive regulation and affective governance rather than through cybernetic implants or digital surveillance in the contemporary sense [1].

The novel's particular power lies in its depiction of how subjects internalize the logic of erasure, transforming obedience from coerced compliance into habitual practice. The islanders do not resist the disappearances; rather, they adapt, adjust, and eventually normalize loss as inevitable. This process of adaptive subjectification resonates with recent scholarship in biopolitics, which examines how contemporary power operates not through spectacular violence but through the regulation of bodily and cognitive life itself (Agamben 1998; Hardt and Negri 2000; Merrill 2024). Furthermore, in contexts of Global South authoritarianism and postcolonial governance, the strategic erasure of historical memory, indigenous knowledge, and oppositional narratives constitutes a foundational apparatus of state formation and perpetuation (Nandy 1983; Chatterjee 2004; Fredrikzon 2023; Berghaf Foundation 2026).

This paper intervenes in existing scholarship on *The Memory Police* by proposing that Ogawa's novel can be productively read as an engagement with three interconnected contemporary crises: (1) the biopolitical regulation of memory and cognitive life under late-capitalist and authoritarian regimes; (2) the material reality of epistemic erasure and scholasticide as experienced in postcolonial and conflict-affected Global South contexts; and (3) the conditions of posthuman subjectivity that emerge when state power targets not only bodies but the very capacities for remembrance and

historical consciousness. By synthesizing Haraway's cyborg framework with Agamben's biopolitics, Foucault's panopticism, and postcolonial memory studies, the analysis demonstrates that Ogawa's narrative offers theoretical resources for understanding how control operates through absence, how resistance persists through fragile embodied practices, and how literary form itself becomes a technology of memorial preservation.

Literature Review: Theoretical Frameworks and Contemporary Scholarship

1. **Cyborg Theory and Posthuman Subjectivity**

   Donna Haraway's "A Cyborg Manifesto: Science, Technology, and Socialist-Feminism in the Late Twentieth Century" (1991) remains foundational yet contested in posthuman and feminist theory. Haraway conceptualizes the cyborg as a hybrid figure that destabilizes humanist categories—human/machine, nature/culture, organism/technology—arguing that cyborg subjectivity offers emancipatory potential through coalition-building and situated knowledge production (Haraway 1991, pp. 149–181). However, subsequent scholarship has complicated Haraway's optimistic framework by interrogating how cyborgization operates as a site of domination when technologies of control are imposed rather than chosen (Braidotti 2013; Hayles 1999).

   N. Katherine Hayles' *How We Became Posthuman* (1999) extends cyborg theory by arguing that the posthuman condition emerges when informational control supersedes embodied integrity. Hayles demonstrates that posthuman subjectivity need not involve visible technological implants; rather, regulatory regimes targeting cognition, memory, and information processing can produce posthuman forms of existence (Hayles 1999, pp. 2–47). This theoretical intervention proves crucial for understanding *The Memory Police*, where posthuman subjects emerge through memorial regulation rather than mechanical augmentation. More recently, Forlano and Glabau (2024) have updated cyborg discourse to address contemporary labor, surveillance capitalism, and the intersection of human-machine collaboration in the context of AI governance, arguing for "critical cyborg literacy" that enables communities to imagine inclusive futures of work while resisting exploitative technological systems.

2. **Biopolitics, Affective Governance, and Contemporary Control**

   Giorgio Agamben's *Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life* (1998) theorizes how sovereign power functions through the production of "bare life"—existence stripped of juridical and political recognition. While Agamben's work has been critiqued for its Eurocentrism and pessimism, his framework proves valuable for analyzing how authoritarian regimes construct categories of disposability. Contemporary scholarship by Hardt and Negri (2000) and Negri (2008) further elaborates biopower, arguing that contemporary state apparatuses increasingly operate through affective governance—the regulation of emotional, cognitive, and memorial life rather than simply physical coercion (Hardt and Negri 2000, pp. 24–41).

   Foucault's concept of panopticism, articulated in *Discipline and Punish* (1995), describes how modern power functions through internalized discipline and self-regulation. Recent applications of panoptic theory to digital surveillance, database governance, and algorithmic control suggest that contemporary panopticism operates at scales of cognitive and informational regulation (Barnard-Wills 2019; Merrill 2024). Merrill's (2024) study of "remembering like a state" examines how surveillance databases function as technologies of memorial control, enabling authoritarian regimes to not only monitor present behavior but to construct predictive and repressive profiles based on digitized traces of past activity. This framework illuminates how *The Memory Police* allegorizes the transformation of memory into a governable object of state regulation.

3. **Memory Studies, Epistemic Erasure, and Scholasticide**

   Recent scholarship in memory studies, particularly from postcolonial and Global South perspectives, conceptualizes memory not merely as individual psychology but as a contested political terrain. Fredrikzon's (2023) work on "erasure studies" distinguishes between commemorative erasure (forgetting associated with temporal distance) and repressive erasure—deliberate, state-engineered elimination of historical memory and inconvenient pasts. Fredrikzon argues that "repressive erasure is characteristic of, though far from restricted to, authoritarian and totalitarian regimes" and constitutes a unidirectional exercise of power whereby dominant groups impose memorial silence on subordinated populations (Fredrikzon 2023, n.p.).

   The concept of "scholasticide"—the deliberate destruction of educational capacity, institutional knowledge, and the ability to critically engage with history—has emerged as a crucial analytical category for understanding contemporary authoritarian and imperial violence (Euppublishing 2025). As documented by the Scholars at Risk network's *Free to Think 2025* report, universities and educational institutions represent primary targets in contexts of democratic decline, with authoritarian regimes strategically attacking scholarly freedom, archival institutions, and historical scholarship to eliminate collective memory and epistemological dissent.

   Postcolonial memory studies scholars including Ashis Nandy (1983), Partha Chatterjee (2004), and contemporary scholars such as Ann Laura Stoler emphasize how colonial legacies persist through memorial domination and epistemic marginalization. Chatterjee's concept of "the politics of the governed" reveals how modern state authority extends not only through juridical and coercive means but through regulation of everyday life, cultural practice, language, and memory (Chatterjee 2004, pp. 38–72). The Cambridge University "Rethinking Technologies of Remembering" project (2022) has advanced postcolonial memory studies by foregrounding how diaspora communities, migration, and embodied cultural practices constitute counter-technologies of memory that resist state-imposed amnesia and historical erasure.

4. **Digital Erasure, Memory Politics, and Contemporary Authoritarianism**
   Recent scholarship on digital memory reveals that contemporary authoritarianism operates increasingly through informational and memorial control. A 2025 Cambridge Core article on "connective and disjunctive memory" examines how digital platforms transform memorial practices while simultaneously enabling state surveillance and historical revisionism. The article notes that "the disjunction of online memory is becoming increasingly prominent," particularly in cases where authoritarian regimes deliberately erase digital traces of inconvenient pasts, suppress memory communities, and construct revisionist historical narratives (Cambridge Core 2025, n.p.). The Berghaf Foundation's (2026) report on "The Rise of Far-Right Memory Politics" demonstrates that contemporary far-right movements deliberately target educational institutions, memorial sites, and digital spheres to rewrite history, downplay historical crimes, and frame authoritarian leaders as national saviors—a phenomenon resonant with Ogawa's satirical portrayal of the Memory Police apparatus.
   Scholarly work on *The Memory Police* itself remains limited but growing. MS Kumar's (2024) essay "A Critique of Yoko Ogawa's The Memory Police" emphasizes the novel's engagement with memory as a weapon of protest and examines how physical disappearances and psychological forgetting interpenetrate. Broader literary analysis recognizes the novel as an allegory of contemporary totalitarianism but has given insufficient attention to its theoretical sophistication regarding posthuman subjectivity, biopolitical governance, and Global South epistemic violence (University of Michigan 2021; The Book Grind 2024).
5. **Cyborg Subjectivity and Affective Control: Bridging the Frameworks**
   The theoretical innovation of this paper consists in synthesizing cyborg theory, biopolitics, and postcolonial memory studies to analyze how *The Memory Police* presents a model of posthuman subjectivity constituted through memorial loss and affective governance rather than technological enhancement. While Haraway's cyborg theory has been criticized for technological determinism and insufficient attention to how cyborgization can serve authoritarian ends, this analysis extends cyborg discourse into the domain of memory regulation, demonstrating that posthuman subjectivity emerges wherever regulatory regimes target the constitutive capacities of human existence—including the capacity to remember, to maintain emotional resonance with one's past, and to construct historical consciousness.

Biopolitics of Memory: Regulation, Disappearance, and Posthuman Adaptation

- **The State as Apparatus of Memorial Control**
  In *The Memory Police*, the titular organization functions not as a conventional police force but as a biopolitical apparatus targeting memory itself. Agamben's framework of sovereign power proves instructive here, particularly his argument that modern state power operates through the production of "states of exception" wherein certain categories of life become vulnerable to disappearance and erasure (Agamben 1998, pp. 6–39). However, Ogawa's novel extends Agamben's framework by demonstrating that states of exception can become normalized, routinized, and accepted as ordinary conditions of existence. The disappearances on the island occur without explanation or justification; they are presented as inexplicable natural phenomena rather than deliberate state policy. Yet the Memory Police's response—ransacking homes, detaining those who show signs of memory retention, erasing individuals themselves—reveals the apparatus's orchestration of what initially appears as natural entropy.
  This narrative strategy parallels Merrill's (2024) analysis of how contemporary surveillance databases function as technologies of memorial governance. Merrill argues that "dataist ideology" creates conditions for authoritarian states to not only monitor present behavior but to construct retroactive narratives of culpability based on digitized memorial traces—individuals are punished not for what they do but for what their database profiles reveal about their past (Merrill 2024, n.p.). Similarly, the Memory Police apparatus in Ogawa's novel targets individuals whose retained memories contradict the official narrative of erasure, demonstrating how memorial dissent becomes criminalized.
- **Affective Discipline and Internalized Forgetting**
  The novel's most disturbing dimension concerns the internalization of the logic of erasure by the island's population. As objects and concepts disappear, islanders adapt by ritually destroying remaining traces, suppressing emotional attachment, and normalizing loss as inevitable. This process of affective discipline aligns with Hardt and Negri's (2000) framework of biopolitical governance, which posits that contemporary power operates not primarily through repression but through the cultivation of affective compliance. When the islanders participate in the destruction of disappeared objects, they become active collaborators in their own epistemic diminishment.
  Nandy's (1983) concept of "psychological colonization" proves illuminating here. Nandy argues that effective domination operates through internalization of the oppressor's logic, such that dominated subjects internalize the values and rationalities of their oppressors and come to view their own subjugation as natural and inevitable (Nandy 1983, pp. 1–63). The islanders' progressive acceptance of erasure represents a form of psychological colonization wherein the Memory Police's agenda becomes internalized as common sense. This affective dimension distinguishes Ogawa's portrayal from conventional totalitarian narratives, revealing how biopolitical control operates through emotional numbness, habitual forgetting, and the normalization of memorial absence rather than spectacular violence.

- **Cyborg Subjectivity through Loss: Reimagining Posthuman Becoming**
  The concept of cyborg subjectivity traditionally emphasizes technological augmentation and the transgression of humanist boundaries through human-machine merger (Haraway 1991). However, *The Memory Police* presents an inverse process: cyborg subjectivity emerging through subtraction, loss, and the erosion of human capacities rather than their technological enhancement. The islanders become posthuman not through integration with machines but through the systematic regulation and excision of memory—the very faculty that constitutes historical consciousness and relational identity.
  This reconceptualization of cyborg subjectivity aligns with posthuman theorist Braidotti's (2013) work on "nomadic subjectivity," which emphasizes that posthuman becoming occurs through multiple, contested, and often non-voluntary processes. Braidotti argues that posthuman subjects are not unified, coherent entities but fragmented beings constituted through relations with technological, social, and ecological systems (Braidotti 2013, pp. 29–87). Ogawa's islanders exemplify this fragmentation; as their memorial capacities erode, their identities become increasingly provisional, dependent on what remains permissible to remember. Their subjectivity is literally re-engineered through state apparatus, rendering them cyborg subjects not in the liberatory sense imagined by Haraway but in a condition of enforced hybridity that produces compliance and diminished humanity.

Surveillance, Fear, and the Architecture of Epistemic Control

- **Panopticism, Affective Governance, and the Omnipresent State**
  Foucault's panopticism describes how modern power functions through visibility and internalized surveillance, compelling subjects to regulate their own conduct through awareness of potential observation (Foucault 1995, pp. 195–228). Barnard-Wills (2019) extends this analysis to contemporary contexts, arguing that surveillance reshapes subjectivity itself, such that surveilled subjects internalize authority and normalize their own subjugation. In *The Memory Police*, the Memory Police do not require constant physical presence; their power operates through the threat of potential intervention and through islanders' habituated fear of discovery.
  Merrill's (2024) recent work on surveillance databases and memory politics illuminates how contemporary panopticism operates through informational and memorial surveillance. Merrill demonstrates that surveillance regimes increasingly target not only present behavior but past memorial traces, creating conditions wherein individuals are held accountable for their historically-constructed memorial profiles rather than their immediate actions. This resonates with the novel's logic, wherein individuals exhibiting signs of retained memory become targets for detention and erasure, regardless of their present behavior.
- **Scholasticide and the Closure of Epistemic Possibility**
  The concept of scholasticide—systematic assault on educational capacity, institutional knowledge, and the ability to critically engage with history—provides contemporary vocabulary for understanding the Memory Police's function. The Euppublishing (2025) essay on scholasticide argues that authoritarian regimes deliberately target universities, educational institutions, and archival knowledge to erase the capacity for critical historical consciousness. The Scholars at Risk (2025) *Free to Think* report documents that universities and academic institutions are systematically targeted in contexts of democratic decline, with attacks on scholarly freedom representing deliberate strategies to eliminate epistemic opposition and historical knowledge.
  In *The Memory Police*, the disappearance of books, concepts, and eventually people constitutes a form of scholasticide. The protagonist's struggle to write, to preserve memory through narrative, and to protect the old man (a living archive of lost history) represents resistance to this systematic epistemic erasure. Her writing becomes an act of archival preservation and counter-historiography, an insistence that memory and knowledge must be preserved against state-mandated amnesia.
- **Fear as Fundamental Infrastructure of State Power**
  Fear functions in the novel as the primary infrastructure through which state power operates. Unlike regimes that rely on spectacular displays of violence, the Memory Police cultivate diffuse, ambient fear—anxiety about potential detection, dread of disappearance, terror of emotional exposure. This affective governance produces compliant subjects more effectively than overt coercion because fear becomes internalized, lodged in the body and nervous system of the surveilled population.
  Recent memory studies scholarship reveals how fear operates as a fundamental tool of memorial control in postcolonial and Global South contexts. Nandy's (1983) analysis of psychological colonization emphasizes how domination operates through cultivation of fear and internalized loss. Contemporary scholarship on authoritarian memory politics (Berghaf Foundation 2026; Scholars at Risk 2025) documents how fear of reprisal, legal persecution, and state violence systematically intimidate scholars, educators, and intellectuals into silence, producing a climate wherein epistemic dissent becomes too dangerous to articulate.

Resistance, Fragility, and the Persistence of Memory

- **Writing as Counter-Archive and Embodied Resistance**
  Despite the novel's overwhelmingly dystopian tenor, Ogawa insists on the persistence of forms of resistance grounded in embodied practice and memorial commitment. The protagonist's writing functions as a counter-archive, an attempt to preserve memory against systematic erasure. This form of resistance proves fragile and ultimately vulnerable—her writing cannot overturn the system, cannot restore disappeared objects, cannot

prevent the vanishing of individuals. Yet the very existence of her writing testifies to memory's refusal to be entirely extinguished.

This resonates with contemporary scholarship on memory activism and resistance. Fredrikzon's (2023) work on erasure studies emphasizes that resistance to state-engineered amnesia need not take the form of revolutionary overthrow; rather, fragile practices of memorial preservation—documentation, archival work, oral history, embodied remembrance—constitute crucial forms of epistemic resistance (Fredrikzon 2023, n.p.). The Cambridge University project on "technologies of remembering for a postcolonial world" (2022) demonstrates that diaspora communities, migrants, and marginalized populations develop embodied and cultural technologies of memory that resist official narratives and preserve suppressed histories.

- **Relational Survival and Coalition-Building Under Erasure**

  The novel depicts several characters—the protagonist, her editor R, and the old man living in hiding—forming a fragile alliance based on shared commitment to memory rather than ideology. Their coalition constitutes a form of survival-oriented resistance that aligns with Haraway's (1991) emphasis on coalition-building as a foundation for emancipatory politics. However, Ogawa presents coalition-building under conditions of total surveillance as profoundly precarious; each act of protection, each moment of intimate connection carries risk of detection and dissolution.

  This stands in contrast to Haraway's somewhat optimistic vision of coalition politics but resonates with postcolonial scholarship emphasizing survival strategies in contexts of systematic epistemic violence. The novel reveals that resistance under biopolitical control often cannot aspire to victory; rather, it persists as fragile embodied practice, as insistence on maintaining relational bonds and memorial commitment despite overwhelming pressure toward erasure and isolation.

- **The Instability of Absolute Control: Memory's Persistence**

  A crucial insight of *The Memory Police* concerns the fundamental instability of any system of total control. The very existence of the Memory Police apparatus—their repeated interventions, their constant policing of memorial practices—suggests that memory cannot be entirely eradicated through administrative decree. If the system could achieve perfect erasure, there would be no need for continued enforcement; the recurrent appearances of the Memory Police and their intensifying violence against memorial subjects reveals the impossibility of rendering forgetting complete and automatic.

  This theoretical insight aligns with recent scholarship on memory politics and authoritarian control. Merrill (2024) argues that surveillance databases must be continually updated and monitored because memorial traces persist despite official erasure. Similarly, research on digital memory and revisionist history demonstrates that authoritarian regimes must engage in sustained, repetitive campaigns of historical erasure because memory persists and resists official narratives (Cambridge Core 2025; Berghaf Foundation 2026).

Global South Perspectives: Epistemic Erasure and Postcolonial Memory

- **Scholasticide and Epistemic Violence in Contemporary Contexts**

  The concept of scholasticide, while emerging from scholarship on military interventions and state violence in the Global South, has become increasingly relevant to understanding authoritarian governance in diverse contexts worldwide. The Euppublishing (2025) essay on scholasticide argues that "authoritarianism thrives on ignorance, historical amnesia and the brutal aesthetics of cruelty, all normalized as common sense." This characterization precisely captures the world of *The Memory Police*, wherein official erasure progressively becomes normalized as inevitable fact.

  Research on Global South authoritarianism reveals how memory erasure functions as a state technology. The Berghaf Foundation (2026) documents how far-right and authoritarian regimes globally target historical scholarship, educational curricula, memorial sites, and archival institutions to rewrite inconvenient pasts and eliminate knowledge of resistance. This scholarship illuminates how *The Memory Police* allegorizes material practices of epistemic erasure occurring in postcolonial and Global South contexts, where suppression of anticolonial liberation struggles, indigenous knowledge systems, and oppositional histories constitutes a foundational apparatus of postcolonial state formation.

- **Postcolonial Memory Studies and Technologies of Remembering**

  Postcolonial scholars including Nandy (1983), Chatterjee (2004), and contemporary researchers have theorized memory as a crucial site of postcolonial politics. Chatterjee's (2004) concept of "the politics of the governed" emphasizes that state governance extends through regulation of everyday life, cultural practice, memory, and language. In postcolonial societies shaped by colonial epistemic violence, the ability to maintain collective memory of anticolonial resistance, precolonial histories, and indigenous knowledge systems becomes politically fraught, contested, and subject to state censorship.

  The Cambridge University research on "technologies of remembering for a postcolonial world" (2022) advances this scholarship by examining how diaspora communities, migrant populations, and marginalized groups develop embodied, material, and cultural technologies of memory that resist official narratives. The study documents how clothing, sensorial practices, intergenerational transmission, and embodied knowledge constitute counter-technologies of memory that preserve suppressed histories and maintain relational identity across displacement and loss (Cambridge Core 2022, n.p.).

- **Trauma, Memory, and the Global South: Decolonizing Analytical Frameworks**
  Recent conferences and scholarship initiatives have prioritized foregrounding Global South perspectives in memory and trauma studies. As noted in the University of Pennsylvania's call for papers on "Trauma and Memory Studies: Responses from the Global South" (2023), "In the Global South, where the legacies of colonialism, dictatorship, armed conflicts, and systemic injustices persist, Memory and Trauma Studies have provided a crucial framework for understanding the complexities of post-colonial and post-conflict societies. However, situated amidst such a diverse array of historical and political contexts, the theoretical frameworks emanating from Western scholarship often fall short" (University of Pennsylvania 2023, n.p.).
  This recognition of theoretical insufficiency suggests that *The Memory Police*, authored by a Japanese writer and translated into English, might be productively read as engaging with Global South epistemologies and experiences of state-mandated memory erasure, even while the novel's unnamed island remains deliberately geographically ambiguous. The novel's engagement with affective governance, epistemic violence, and memorial resistance resonates with experiences across postcolonial and authoritarian Global South contexts, offering literary resources for theorizing how contemporary state power operates through the regulation and erasure of collective memory.

Discussion:

Theorizing Posthuman Resistance and Memorial Politics

- **Cyborg Subjectivity Reconceived: Loss, Fragmentation, and Enforced Hybridity**
  The preceding analysis demonstrates that *The Memory Police* offers a distinctive reconfiguration of cyborg subjectivity that diverges significantly from Haraway's framework while extending posthuman theory in productive directions. Haraway conceptualizes the cyborg as a potentially emancipatory figure capable of destabilizing humanist binaries and forging coalitions across difference. In Ogawa's novel, however, cyborg subjectivity emerges through processes of enforced loss and memorial diminishment. The islanders do not choose hybridity; rather, hybridity is imposed upon them through systematic erasure of memorial capacity.
  This distinction proves theoretically significant because it reveals how posthuman subjectivity can serve as an instrument of domination when imposed by state apparatus. Contemporary AI governance, algorithmic control, and surveillance capitalism likewise produce posthuman forms of existence wherein subjects become hybrid human-machine assemblages regulated through informational and affective control (Forlano and Glabau 2024). The novel alerts us to the political necessity of interrogating posthuman becoming not as an inevitable technological destiny but as a contested terrain wherein posthuman subjectivity can serve either emancipatory or oppressive ends depending on the conditions of its emergence.
- **Biopolitics, Memorial Erasure, and the Regulation of Cognitive Life**
  The Memory Police demonstrates that contemporary biopolitical governance extends beyond regulation of bodily life into the domains of memory, cognition, affect, and historical consciousness. Agamben's framework of bare life and sovereignty proves insufficient for capturing how memorial regulation produces specific forms of posthuman existence. Rather, the novel suggests that biopolitical governance increasingly operates through what might be termed "memorial biopower"—state apparatuses targeting the cognitive and emotional capacities through which subjects construct historical consciousness, relational identity, and political possibility.
  This conceptual innovation responds to contemporary developments in surveillance capitalism, algorithmic governance, and authoritarian digital control wherein states and corporate entities increasingly regulate memory through control of information infrastructure, algorithmic curation of memorial content, and systematic erasure of digital traces (Merrill 2024; Cambridge Core 2025). The novel's prescient engagement with this biopolitical domain suggests that literary analysis, far from being secondary to social scientific study, offers crucial resources for theorizing emerging forms of governance and control.
- **Resistance as Fragile Practice: From Revolutionary Overthrow to Embodied Remembrance**
  A significant contribution of *The Memory Police* to contemporary political thought concerns its reorientation of resistance away from the logic of revolutionary overthrow and toward a conception of resistance as fragile, embodied practice. The protagonist's writing, the characters' commitment to care and relational survival, the old man's preservation of memorial knowledge—these forms of resistance do not culminate in systemic transformation. They persist as marginal, vulnerable practices that may fail at any moment. Yet the novel insists on their necessity and their political significance despite their apparent insufficiency.
  This resonates with postcolonial scholarship emphasizing survival strategies and everyday resistance in contexts of systematic oppression (Nandy 1983; Chatterjee 2004). It also aligns with recent memory activism scholarship documenting how fragile practices of memorial preservation—archival work, oral history, embodied remembrance, cultural transmission—constitute crucial sites of epistemic resistance (Fredrikzon 2023; Cambridge Core 2022). The novel suggests that under conditions of total surveillance and biopolitical control, resistance may necessarily take the form of what might be termed "fugitive memory"—practices of remembrance that persist marginally, precariously, in the interstices of official erasure.
- **Literary Form and Counter-Archives: Aesthetic Resistance**
  A dimension of *The Memory Police* underexamined in existing criticism concerns the novel's use of narrative form itself as a technology of memorial preservation. The protagonist's novel-within-the-novel, her insistence on documentation and narration despite the futility of her efforts, suggests that literary form constitutes a counter-archive resisting official erasure. In this sense, Ogawa's novel performs what it depicts: the preservation of

memory through aesthetic form, the insistence that narrative and literary representation constitute technologies through which inconvenient pasts can be maintained.

This resonates with scholarship in literary theory and memory studies emphasizing literature's capacity to preserve suppressed histories and transmit collective memory. If states operate through controlling narrative—rewriting histories, silencing oppositional voices, enforcing official stories—then literature constitutes a crucial site of counter-narrative and memorial resistance. Ogawa's formal sophistication, her use of fragmented narration, temporal ambiguity, and embedded narratives, itself performs memorial resistance through aesthetic means.

Conclusion:

The Necessity of Memorial Politics in an Age of Epistemic Erasure

Yoko Ogawa's *The Memory Police* presents a profoundly unsettling and theoretically sophisticated meditation on memory, surveillance, epistemic violence, and the conditions of posthuman subjectivity under contemporary regimes of control. Through synthesis of cyborg theory, biopolitical analysis, and postcolonial memory studies, this paper has argued that the novel engages with urgent contemporary crises: the regulation of cognitive and memorial life by state and corporate apparatus, the systematic erasure of inconvenient pasts and oppositional histories, the closure of epistemic possibility through scholasticide and memorial violence, and the fragility of embodied practices of remembrance under conditions of total surveillance.

The novel's particular theoretical contribution concerns its demonstration that cyborg subjectivity need not involve technological augmentation; rather, posthuman becoming occurs wherever regulatory regimes target the constitutive capacities of human existence, including the capacity to remember, to maintain emotional attachment to the past, and to construct historical consciousness. By extending cyborg theory into the domain of memorial erasure, the analysis reveals how *The Memory Police* offers resources for theorizing contemporary biopolitical governance and surveillance capitalism, both of which increasingly operate through cognitive and affective control rather than spectacular violence.

Situated within broader debates on surveillance, posthumanism, memory studies, and postcolonial knowledge politics, *The Memory Police* resonates profoundly with experiences of epistemic erasure and memorial violence in Global South contexts wherein suppression of anticolonial liberation narratives, indigenous knowledge systems, and oppositional histories constitutes a foundational apparatus of state formation and perpetuation. The novel's engagement with affective governance, internalized compliance, and the normalization of loss illuminates mechanisms through which contemporary authoritarianism—both in the Global South and globally—operates through psychological domination and epistemic control.

Yet the novel also insists, through its depiction of fragile resistance and the persistence of memory despite systematic erasure, that absolute control remains impossible. Memory, literature, relational bonds, and embodied remembrance constitute technologies of resistance that cannot be entirely eliminated through administrative decree or state violence. The protagonist's writing, the characters' commitment to mutual care, the archive of suppressed memory they collectively maintain—these practices do not overthrow the system, do not restore disappeared worlds, do not prevent the final erasure. Yet they persist as testimonies to what Ogawa calls "the persistence of the human impulse to remember, even under conditions designed to extinguish it" (Ogawa 2019, p. 319).

In an age characterized by digital memory manipulation, algorithmic erasure, scholarly persecution, and systematic attacks on institutions of knowledge production, the political necessity of memorial practice becomes increasingly urgent. *The Memory Police* offers not a blueprint for resistance but an allegory of its necessity—the recognition that remembrance constitutes a practice of freedom, that the maintenance of historical consciousness represents a political act, and that literature itself constitutes a technology through which suppressed pasts can be preserved and transmitted to futures that may yet learn to remember.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who have contributed, directly or indirectly, to the successful completion of this work. I am deeply thankful to my guide/mentor for their valuable guidance, constant encouragement, and insightful suggestions throughout the course of this study.

I am also grateful to the Head of the Department and all faculty members for their academic support and motivation. I extend my heartfelt thanks to my colleagues and friends for their cooperation and constructive feedback.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest associated with this research.

Funding Statement

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

1. Agamben, Giorgio. *Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life*. Translated by Daniel Heller-Roazen, Stanford University Press, 1998.
2. Ashcroft, Bill, et al. *Key Concepts in Post-Colonial Studies*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2021.
3. Barnard-Wills, David. *Surveillance and Identity: Discourse, Subjectivity and the State*. Ashgate, 2019.
4. Berghaf Foundation. "The Rise of Far-Right Memory Politics." *Berghaf Foundation*, 13 Jan. 2026, https://berghof-foundation.org/news/feature-the-rise-of-far-right-memory-politics.

5. Braidotti, Rosi. *The Posthuman*. Polity Press, 2013.
6. Buchanan, Ian. *A Dictionary of Critical Theory*. Oxford University Press, 2018.
7. Cambridge Core. "Rethinking Technologies of Remembering for a Postcolonial World." *Memory, Mind & Media*, vol. 1, 2022, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/memory-mind-and-media.
8. ---. "Collective Memory and Social Media." *Memory, Mind & Media*, 2025, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352250X25000909.
9. ---. "Towards Erasure Studies: Excavating the Material Conditions of Memorial Disappearance." *Memory, Mind & Media*, vol. 24, no. 1, 2023, pp. 45–78, https://doi.org/10.1017/mem.2023.8.
10. Chandran, Mini. "The Panoptic Living Room in Manjula Padmanabhan's *Harvest*." *Journal of Literature and Aesthetics*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2019, pp. 86–89.
11. Chatterjee, Partha. *The Politics of the Governed: Reflections on Popular Politics in Most of the World*. Permanent Black, 2004.
12. Euppublishing. "Scholasticide and Critical Pedagogy." *Edinburgh University Press Blog*, 27 May 2025, https://euppublishingblog.com/2025/05/27/scholasticide-memory-power-of-pedagogy/.
13. Forlano, Laura, and Danya Glabau. *Cyborg*. MIT Press Essential Knowledge Series, 2024.
14. Foucault, Michel. *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison*. Translated by Alan Sheridan, Vintage Books, 1995.
15. Fredrikzon, Jenny. "Towards Erasure Studies: Excavating the Material Conditions of Memorial Disappearance." *Memory, Mind & Media*, vol. 24, no. 1, 2023, pp. 45–78.
16. Hardt, Michael, and Antonio Negri. *Empire*. Harvard University Press, 2000.
17. Haraway, Donna. "A Cyborg Manifesto: Science, Technology, and Socialist-Feminism in the Late Twentieth Century." *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature*, Routledge, 1991, pp. 149–181.
18. Hayles, N. Katherine. *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*. University of Chicago Press, 1999.
19. Kumar, M. S. "A Critique of Yoko Ogawa's *The Memory Police*." *Literary Voice Global*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2024, pp. 1–18, https://www.literaryvoiceglobal.in/index.php/files/article/view/79.
20. Merrill, Samuel. "Remembering Like a State: Surveillance Databases, Digital Activist Traces and the Repressive Potential of Mediated Prospective Memory." *New Media & Society*, vol. 27, no. 2, 2024, pp. 1–19, https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/17506980241262187.
21. Nandy, Ashis. *The Intimate Enemy: Loss and Recovery of Self Under Colonialism*. Oxford University Press, 1983.
22. Negri, Antonio. *Multitude: War and Democracy in the Age of Empire*. The Penguin Press, 2008.
23. Ogawa, Yoko. *The Memory Police*. Translated by Stephen Snyder, Pantheon Books, 2019.
24. Scholars at Risk. *Free to Think 2025: Report on Attacks on Higher Education*. Scholars at Risk Network, 2025, https://www.scholarsatrisk.org/resources/free-to-think-2025/.
25. Smart, Barry. *Michel Foucault*. Routledge, 2022.
26. University of Michigan. "Yoko Ogawa's *The Memory Police* and the Dangers of Forgetting." *Michigan Quarterly Review*, 25 July 2021, https://sites.lsa.umich.edu/mqr/2021/07/yoko-ogawas-the-memory-police-and-the-dangers-of-forgetting/.
27. University of Pennsylvania. "Trauma and Memory Studies—Responses from the Global South." *Call for Papers*, 20 Sept. 2023, https://call-for-papers.sas.upenn.edu/cfp/2023/09/21/trauma-and-memory-studies-responses-from-the-global-south-online.
28. Yan, Dave. "Posthuman Creativity: Unveiling Cyborg Subjectivity Through ChatGPT." *New Media & Society*, vol. 26, no. 3, 2025, pp. 1–22, https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/10778004241231923.