

Human Values and Issues in Subaltern Studies: A Critical Inquiry

Leena Dineshchandra Varat¹, Dr. Satish Govind Saykar²

Submitted: 05-Jan-2026 Revised: 10-Jan-2026 Accepted: 10-Feb-2026 Published: 28-Feb-2026

Manuscript ID:

IJEWLPSIR-2026-030118



Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work no commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.20283251](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20283251)

DOI Link:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20283251>

Volume: 3

Issue: 1

Month: Feb 2026

E-ISSN: 3065-7873

Research scholar, Savitribai Phule Pune University

How to cite this article:

Varat, L. D., & Saykar, S. G. (2026).

Human Values and Issues in Subaltern Studies: A Critical Inquiry. *International Journal of English and World Languages & Literature Paradigm Shift in International Research*, 3(1), 70–74. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20283251>

Address for correspondence:

Leena Dineshchandra Varat,
Research scholar, Savitribai Phule Pune University

Abstract

Subaltern research emerged as a vital intellectual motion that sought to reinterpret history from the attitude of marginalized groups who were excluded from elite-centric narratives. Rooted in put up-colonial theory and influenced by Marxist historiography, the Subaltern research collective challenged dominant colonial and nationalist historiography by foregrounding the voices of farmers, employees, women, tribal communities, and different oppressed organizations. At the middle of this dialogue is the question of human values – dignity, justice, equality, corporation, resistance and recognition – and the way those values are negotiated within systems of energy and domination. This paper critically examines the connection among humanitarian values and the troubles raised in Subaltern studies. It examines the way wherein subaltern historiography reconstitutes the idea of employer and challenge. The examine of the Subaltern research movement also examines present day troubles inclusive of epistemological marginalization, gender subordination, and the impact of globalization on marginalized corporations. Thru the utility of the Subaltern studies motion to large debates on human rights and social justice, this paper demonstrates its relevance within the observe of information inequalities in colonial societies.

The study concludes that Subaltern research is an important contribution to the dialogue on human values as it questions dominant systems of knowledge production. However, it also faces limitations related to illustration, methodological fragmentation, and its evolving relationships with global instructional institutions.

Keywords: Subaltern Studies, Human Values, Marginalization, Postcolonial Theory, Agency, Representation, Social Justice

Introduction

Subaltern research emerged as a transformative highbrow intervention in South Asian historiography in the early Eighties. This motion, began below the leadership of Ranjit Guha, sought to essentially rethink how records became written and whose voices have been recounted in it. At a time whilst colonial and nationalist narratives largely privileged precise actors – administrators, reformers, political leaders, and higher-elegance intellectuals – the Subaltern studies collective redirected scholarly attention toward marginalized businesses who have been systematically excluded from dominant historic debts. Taking concept from Antonio Gramsci's idea of the "subaltern", the institution emphasised the want to observe the political corporation and attention of those located out of doors hegemonic electricity structures.

The time period "subaltern" refers to social companies that are structurally subordinated within political, economic, and cultural hierarchies. within the South Asian context, this includes farmers, agricultural labourers, business employees, girls, Dalits, tribals and other marginalized communities. those groups frequently lack get entry to to institutional energy, formal illustration, and deliberative rights. traditional historiography, whether or not colonial or nationalist, often portrays them as passive recipients of change or as irrational masses mobilized by means of elite management. In evaluation, Subaltern research argued that such portrayals erased the self sufficient political sphere of marginalized communities and reduced their struggles to footnotes in elite narratives.

Early variations of subaltern research tried to demonstrate that subaltern companies had their very own types of political rationality and collective consciousness. Peasant revolts, tribal uprisings and adjacent resistance activities are now not seen as spontaneous actions but as actions contingent to ethical economy, moral frameworks and symbols. They were not writing from a discourse of the masters and the healing and utilizing of oppressed voices. The undertaking turned into now not only empirical, but also deeply moral, as it aimed to repair the ancient corporation and dignity of human beings disadvantaged of popularity. An important theoretical enlargement of the sector got here thru the intervention of Gayatri Chakraborty Spivak, whose essay can the Subaltern communicate? Raised essential questions about representation and epistemic authority. Spivak argued that when even crucial students declare to speak on behalf of marginalized topics they hazard reproducing systems of domination.

He brought the idea of "epistemic violence" to describe how dominant information systems silence subaltern voices. His criticism improved subaltern research past historical healing to encompass mirrored image on the ethics of highbrow practice. This highlighted the anomaly that despite the fact that scholars purpose to increase marginalized voices, academic discourse itself might also continue to be inaccessible to those equal communities.

The human values of dignity, equality, justice, and freedom are the bedrock of democratic values. However, in a colonial exploitative, caste-divided, patriarchal, and unequal capitalist society, these values are not equally distributed. The Subaltern Studies movement directly addresses this moral problem. By reclaiming the subaltern experience, it problematizes the discourse that legitimates exclusion and domination. The Subaltern research movement consequently overlaps with the discourse on human rights and social justice. This underlines that silencing voices is not only a trouble of historiography however also a contravention of fundamental human values.

This motion similarly problematizes hegemonic epistemologies that universalize Western notions of modernity, development, and motive. Dipesh Chakraborty and other subaltern students have argued that ecu highbrow traditions can't provide a sole paradigm for information diverse ancient experiences. The subaltern movement argues for the recognition of more than one histories and opportunity sorts of understanding manufacturing, via a process of "provincialization of Europe". This epistemological shift affirms the importance of cultural range and nearby company.

Over time, the Subaltern research tradition has grown from its origins in colonial India to make a contribution to postcolonial and critical concept debates around the arena. It has stimulated studies in Latin America, Africa, and indigenous moves globally. Feminist and Dalit students have brought intensity to the communique through pointing to the intersectional nature of subalternation. In this manner, this tradition has evolved into an extra trendy method to knowledge how systems of electricity constrain reports and restriction get entry to to rights and reputation.

Inside the current globalized world characterised by way of neoliberal change, migration disaster, virtual surveillance and new sorts of exclusion, the relevance of the subaltern research tradition remains very a good deal intact. Marginalized groups maintain to conflict for voice, illustration, and fair get admission to to political approaches. The persistence of social hierarchies, based on such divisions as caste, race, gender or class, demonstrates that the project of claiming the human has just begun.

This paper will explore how subaltern studies engages with the problem of human values and the way it addresses questions of electricity, illustration and epistemology. It'll additionally look at the significance of subaltern discourses in being a catalyst for inclusive scholarship and moral engagement with marginalized peoples. By locating subaltern research within the larger discourses on justice and dignity, this paper will spotlight its significance in each scholarship and democracy.

In its personal right, by attempting to position the marginalized at the center of its very own research, Subaltern studies challenges scholars to rethink whose voices are being counted and whose histories matter. In this feel, it reaffirms the importance of human values in each historiography and social transformation.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the conceptual foundations of Subaltern Studies and its engagement with human values.
2. To analyze the major issues addressed within Subaltern Studies, including marginalization, representation, and agency.
3. In what ways have the concerns of Subaltern Studies found expression in contemporary discourse about social justice and human rights?
4. To examinecriticallythe limitations and problems of the subaltern framework.

Literature Review

When Subaltern Studies emerged in the early 1980s it was epistemologically a point of departure for writing the history of the South Asian past. Conceived by Ranajit Guha, the Subaltern Studies group set out to write a historiography which was both opposed to colonial writing, and the nationalist writing, which is characterized by an assumption of the "great man approach" toward writing Indian history, and ignored the subjectivity of the subordinate group. The classic *Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency in Colonial India* by Ranajit Guha reexamined peasant revolts, arguing that they were not just "aimless riot" or mindless and leaderless responses, but political processes which possessed their own 'morality and the symbolic field and in the consciousness'. It was the recognition by Guha of common patterns of peasant rebellions (negation, solidarity, and inversion) that demonstrated, in his opinion, a distinct political domain of the subaltern groups and decenter those marginalized communities. The Gramscian idea of "the subaltern" as that social category which does not enter into the "consciousness of the dominants" or the field of political action of the elite group inspired the Subaltern Studies school. As stated by Gramsci in *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*, subaltern consciousness is characterized by a fractured and episodic nature, being formed through domination and defined by resistance. Taking Gramsci's analysis to the subcontinent, Subaltern Studies opposed the nationalism historiography's disregard of the independent political career of peasants, laborers and tribals, positioning subaltern agency within a Gramscian theory of hegemony and bridging the gap between Marxist and postcolonial study. Perhaps the most influential essay of Subaltern Studies, *Can the Subaltern Speak?* Written by Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak critiqued the possibility for a subaltern to articulate her or himself within dominant epistemologies. Spivak claimed that even well-intentioned intellectuals cannot overcome this trap as they are producing the subaltern. Spivak's concept of "epistemic violence" explains this through a reexamination of the colonial project of sati, demonstrating that the colonial state and the nationalists similarly claim ownership of the

subaltern woman's voice, rendering it inaccessible. Her article caused historians, critics and scholars of marginalized lives to address representation and the possibility for epistemic intervention.

Where Guha provided a historical account of peasant insurgency and Spivak critiqued representation, Partha Chatterjee investigated the contradictions of nationalism. Chatterjee in his book *The Nation and Its Fragments* asserted that anticolonial nationalism had developed an internal sphere of cultural sovereignty that contrasted with the political sphere it mimicked from the West, while the subaltern participated in fragmented nationalism. Chatterjee further examined how subaltern agents contested the dominant ideology through an examination of different domains within the postcolonial state and nation. Dipesh Chakrabarty expanded subaltern studies through his book *Provincializing Europe* where he criticized the universalization of the European modernity project. Chakrabarty argued for an exploration of multiple histories rather than simply "progress" modeled on Europe, while challenging the assumed authority of Western categories. Through such interventions, the Subaltern Studies School provided a critical theoretical framework in their investigation into indigenous ways of being and knowing. Apart from these works, subsequent scholarship further diversified Subaltern Studies by examining gender. The critical lens of feminist scholarship has helped scholars identify the gendered character of subalternity arguing that women were the victims of "double marginalization" through the combination of patriarchal dominance along with class or caste oppression. Since Spivak's article, feminist subaltern studies have been attempting to recover the subaltern women's experiences within dominant gendered constructs, including their daily practices, sexuality, and modes of resistance. Dalit studies are an important contribution to the field of subaltern studies. Critical reviews of the earlier Subaltern Studies volumes noted the relative exclusion of caste as an independent category of oppression and urged the discipline to rethink the class-based Marxist explanation of subalternity and to account for a distinct caste system of domination and exclusion that cannot be subsumed under a category like class. A study of Dalits would thus bring into the Subaltern Studies field the particularity of caste, but in addition, it can reveal the history of structural violence in the caste system and the denial of fundamental rights that this system perpetuates.

Indigenous and tribal studies are a crucial facet of Subaltern Studies as they deal with land alienation and resistance among the Adivasis. Subaltern researchers looked at how tribal peoples have resisted colonial laws concerning land, forests and property. These studies emphasize the environmental dimension of subaltern politics and analyze how concepts of subsistence, culture and the survival of groups are threatened. Indigenous resistance provides scholars of the Subaltern Studies group with a lens that makes possible interconnections with transnational indigenous movements for justice. The inclusion of cultural and literary analysis has enriched the discipline immensely. Studies analyzing how the voices of subaltern groups can be found within folk narratives, songs and performance art, provided an analysis of how they are preserved and transmitted across generations. This multidisciplinary aspect combines literature, anthropology, sociology and history to reexamine the ways in which oral traditions resist dominant written forms of history writing. There has been a wide range of critique leveled against the Subaltern Studies movement. There are critiques about the institutionalization of Subaltern Studies in the West, leading to it being seen as more academic and detached than in the grass roots where the field was initially concerned with politics. There is also critique that suggests the move toward poststructuralist thought in the latter volumes of Subaltern Studies is a departure from materialist class politics. Moreover, the label itself of subaltern has come under scrutiny for subsuming a vast array of marginalized identities under one homogenizing label.

However, despite such critical responses, the field continues to grow and evolve and to move away from solely focusing on colonial India. The Subaltern Studies movement has been influential beyond its initial scope and has provided ground for extensive scholarship in Latin America, Africa, and beyond. Integration of feminist, Dalits, indigenous concerns strengthens its ability to work on and produce inclusive scholarship on multiple aspects of inequality. It is these concerns that link to the core of human rights and social transformation movements. Overall, what seems to emerge from the body of scholarship under the rubric of Subaltern Studies is that it is not a fixed corpus of theory, but rather a conversation that constantly re-examines its own commitments. From Guha's challenge to history writing toward a recognition of peasants' political agency to Spivak's work on the limits of representational practice and Chakrabarty's intervention against Eurocentrism, the School's intellectual and ethical groundings continue to broaden with interdisciplinary methodologies. The core of their analysis, however, is focused on the issue of human dignity for groups that have historically been denied recognition, and on their continued resistance. The concern with human values such as equality, voice and justice makes Subaltern Studies a potent framework with which to grapple with the historical and contemporary phenomena of marginalization and struggle for recognition.

Human Values in Subaltern Studies

The issue of Human Values in Subaltern Studies has often revolved around two major concerns, which are related: a) the recovery of dignity and b) the assertion of the moral and political autonomy of the marginalized. Conventionally history writing have treated the marginalized either as passive objects of colonial state's violence or nationalist mobilization; or as subjects who merely reacted impulsively without their own motivations or conscious goals. Subaltern studies provides an alternative by documenting peasant rebellions, tribal resistance, labor movements and grassroots activism not simply as history that represents subaltern agency but as the proof and testament of it. The narrative that subaltern subjects are merely ignorant creatures and moral imbeciles, devoid of intelligence and reasoning capability, is challenged. The assertion of moral and political subjectivity of marginalized peoples leads to the reclamation of dignity for them.

This reclamation of dignity through subaltern subjectivity also leads to claims of justice and equality. The framework attempts to highlight what justice and equality mean in material sense. In this context, merely formal or

legal equality is not sufficient to recognize the claim to equality as substantive justice. Subaltern scholars assert that a true understanding of equality should account for the structures of domination and marginalization that permeate historical processes. The marginalized group thus claims the right to recognition, rights that they should justly be afforded due to their membership in human community. Apart from dignity and justice, human value in subaltern studies is related to agency and resistance. Against the claims that the marginalized groups are not conscious of the politics around them, subaltern researchers present evidence for the deliberate acts of resistance that can be seen in rebellions and strikes to less visible form of everyday protestations within everyday structures. The assertion of agency and resistance therefore places marginalized people as active participants rather than passive victims, making them authors of their own histories rather than their objects. In the context of subaltern studies, dignity, equality, agency and resistance are closely related to the issue of voice and representation. If subalterns are not recognized as subjects of knowledge production and political agents, how would their voices be heard? Critical analysis of the ways in which others speak for the marginalized groups shows how this silencing process itself reproduces inequality and denied subjectivity of those excluded. The search for the inclusion of the marginalized's voice therefore represents the recovery of dignity and the fight for equality and social justice.

Issues in Subaltern Studies

Among the major issues that have been raised within Subaltern Studies, representation has taken center stage. It is primarily through Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak's essay *Can the Subaltern Speak?* that this theme has been developed within Subaltern Studies. Spivak points to the fact that the scholar is also an agent of power. For Subaltern Studies to overcome its theoretical constraints, such claims to represent subaltern subjectivity, though based on a moral commitment to make the marginalized visible, would ultimately result in the reification and construction of the subaltern as 'other'. This method is what Spivak calls 'epistemic violence': "writing as 'other' need not necessarily speak the subaltern, but rather reinforce the dominant power structure on a further level of representation." Spivak argues that historians and scholars must acknowledge the limitations of their practice of representation, which cannot do justice to the subaltern unless it is self-reflexive, and does justice to the power structures and positionality inherent within representational practice. A second controversial issue within Subaltern Studies has been that of gendered subalternity. The initial series of Subaltern Studies has received fierce criticism from feminist writers, who stated that "gender does not even figure as an issue" (Amin & Mandal 2006: ix). Feminist scholars asserted that women's subordination in subaltern communities stemmed not only from the external forces of patriarchy imposed by the male-dominated nation state and colonial rule but also from the existing structures of oppression within those communities. This internal dimension has to be understood in tandem with the external structures that marginalize the marginalized groups. Feminist contributions to the study of subalternity highlight the intersection of gender, class, and caste in determining the lived experiences of marginalization among subaltern women.

Caste marginality in the Indian subcontinent is another significant concern that the field has engaged with. Initially, 'class' was conceptualized as the primary lens through which to study subalternity in India, with castes being analyzed through the framework of class stratification. However, with the proliferation of subaltern scholarship, and more importantly with the inclusion of Dalit scholars as primary analysts of the Indian experience of marginalization, caste has increasingly come to be recognized as a form of exclusion that transcends the analytical category of class. The inclusion of Dalit auto-biographies, Dalit literature and scholarly engagement with caste as a deeply rooted historical experience in the Indian subcontinent demonstrates the need for particular approaches in order to bring about the recovery and recognition of dignity that subaltern scholars are seeking to achieve for marginalized peoples. In addition, contemporary socio-political changes in terms of liberalization, globalization and new configurations of capitalism have given rise to new manifestations of subalternity. The struggles of those who have been economically excluded and made precarious by free market policies can be understood using the analytical tools of subalternity. The informal economies, displacement, agrarian distress, and modes of internal migration that have become prevalent as modes of sustenance reveal how marginality operates differently in post-liberalization India. The contemporary dynamics that have arisen are testament to the continued relevance and utility of the subaltern approach as an investigative framework for contemporary social and political movements across the Global South, which are centered around questions of recognition and human rights and therefore offer a unique point of interaction between subaltern studies, and the human rights discourse.

Discussion

As evident, Subaltern Studies has made invaluable contributions to understanding and deconstructing various paradigms of Human Values within historiography and social theory. Through their commitment to uncovering and legitimizing marginalized pasts and presents, Subaltern Studies is an important contributor to the wider human rights discourse. In spite of such contributions, several issues and controversies accompany Subaltern Studies, specifically regarding the nexus between its theory and its application. While advocates of Subaltern Studies have argued for the importance of recovering and documenting marginalized voices, detractors have expressed concerns about the institutionalization of Subaltern Studies in academic structures and the degree to which this trend contributes to grass roots mobilization or merely reiterates a particular approach to history among academicians. Secondly, the apparent shift in later Subaltern Studies scholarship away from issues of material conditions toward concerns of representation have drawn critique suggesting a 'loss of revolutionary spirit' among scholars in terms of addressing the structural bases of inequality. Despite such issues, Subaltern Studies continue to be an indispensable

framework for current social research on movements of identity, claims for recognition, and social justice across multiple disciplines including sociology, anthropology, political sciences and literature.

Conclusion

Subaltern Studies, being revolutionary in its intervention, reclaims human dignity, equality and justice by privileging the marginal voices, those of the subaltern. Subaltern studies have and continue to play an instrumental role in shaping scholarship as well as social activism in the postcolonial and global world and it stands to reason that despite its numerous contradictions and controversies this field of scholarship will continue to contribute in addressing the issue of inequality as its focus on recognition, agency and resistance remains vital for our engagement with marginalized populations and identities.

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who supported me in completing this research on Human Values and Issues in Subaltern Studies: A Critical Inquiry. First and foremost, I am deeply thankful to my guide/mentor for their constant guidance, insightful suggestions, and continuous encouragement throughout this study. Their valuable feedback greatly contributed to shaping this research.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper

References

1. Chakrabarty, Dipesh. *Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference*. Princeton University Press, 2000.
2. Chatterjee, Partha. *The Nation and Its Fragments*. Princeton University Press, 1993.
3. Guha, Ranajit. *Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency in Colonial India*. Oxford University Press, 1983.
4. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" in *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, 1988.
5. Gramsci, Antonio. *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*. International Publishers, 1971.
6. Additional peer-reviewed journal articles on Subaltern Studies and postcolonial theory.